

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

TITLE: Revised Text of Public-key and Attribute Certificate Enhancements FPDAM 4

SOURCE: Collaborative ITU and ISO/IEC meeting on the Directory, London, England, February 2003, Geneva, Switzerland, September 2003 and March 2004 and Orlando,FL USA 2004

STATUS: For your information

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

Introduction

Note - This clause provides an introduction to this FPDAM. The text in this clause is not intended for inclusion in ISO/IEC 9594-8.

This FPDAM provides enhancements for public key certificate and attribute certificate frameworks extensions and certificate revocation. Mechanisms have been developed that require changes to ISO/IEC 9594-2, ISO/IEC 9594-6, ISO/IEC 9594-7 and ISO/IEC 9594-8

Specific changes set forth in this document are as follows:

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.500 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-1: 2001
No changes at this time.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.501 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-2: 2001
Added "**entityAuthentication**" object identifier in support of the public-key and attribute certificate enhancements.
Corrected and added missing object identifier definitions.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.511 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-3: 2001
No changes at this time.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.518 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-4: 2001
No changes at this time.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.519 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-5: 2001
No changes at this time.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.520 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-6: 2001
Corrected object identifiers (commented out) in support of the public-key and attribute certificate enhancements.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.521 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-7: 2001
Corrected object identifiers (commented out) in support of the public-key and attribute certificate enhancements.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.509 (2000) | ISO/IEC 9594-8: 2001
Adds the following enhancements for public key certificate and attribute certificate frameworks extensions and certificate revocation:

- Notice of future revocation
- Notice of group of certificates
- Subject directory attributes criticality
- Enhanced certificate matching rules
- Initializing permitted subtrees, excluded subtrees and required name forms
- Defines XML privilege information
- Self issued certificates
- OCSP inclusion
- Indirect CRLs
- Certification path and naming enhancements
- Enhanced name matching
- CPs and CPSs

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

- SOA identifier extension and cross-certification
- Privilege policy attribute certificate
- Additional revocation reason codes
- Expired certificates on CRLs
- CRL scope extension
- Examples of name constraint use
- Name form hierarchies
- Additional definitions

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.525 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-9: 2001
No changes at this time.

Final Proposed Draft Amendment 4 to ITU Rec. X.530 (2001) | ISO/IEC 9594-10: 2001
No changes at this time.

**ISO/IEC 9594-2 Information Technology — Open Systems
Interconnection — The Directory: Models**

FPDAM 4: Enhancements to Public-key and attribute certificate framework

Add the following definition to the end of the information object definitions in Annex A.

keyPurposes ID ::= {ds 38}

Add the following to the synonyms definitions in Annex A.

id-kp ID ::= keyPurposes

**ISO/IEC 9594-6 Information Technology — Open Systems
Interconnection — The Directory: Selected attribute types**

FPDAM 4: Enhancements to Public-key and attribute certificate framework

Add the following definitions to the end of the Attribute declarations in the ASN.1 module of Annex A.

```
-- id-at-xMLPrivilegeInfo          OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 75}
-- id-at-protPrivPolicy           OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 74}
```

Add the following definition to the end of the object class declarations in in the ASN.1 module Annex A.

```
-- id-oc-protectedPrivilegePolicy OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc-34}
```

Add the following two definitions to the end of the Matching Rule declarations in the ASN.1 module of Annex A.

```
-- id-mr-enhancedCertificateMatch OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 65}
-- id-mr-sOAIentifierMatch        OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 66}
-- id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 67}
```

ISO/IEC 9594-7 Information Technology - Open Systems Interconnection - The Directory: Selected object classes

FPDAM 4: Enhancements to Public-key and attribute certificates framework

Add the following definition to the end of the object class declarations in the ASN.1 module of Annex A.

-- id-oc-protectedPrivilegePolicy OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc-34}

ISO/IEC 9594-8 Information Technology - Open systems Interconnection - The Directory: Public-key and attribute certificates framework

FPDAM 4: Enhancements to Public-key and attribute certificates frameworks

3.3 Definitions

Add the following definition and renumber definitions according to alphabetical listings.

Certification Practice Statement (CPS): A statement of the practices that a Certification Authority employs in issuing certificates.

self-issued certificate: A public-key certificate where the issuer and the subject are the same CA. A CA might use self-issued certificates, for example, during a key rollover operation to provide trust from the old key to the new key.

self-signed certificate: A special case of self-issued certificates where the private key used by the CA to sign the certificate corresponds to the public key that is certified within the certificate. A CA might use a self-signed certificate, for example, to advertise their public key or other information about their operations.

Note: Use of self-issued certificates and self-signed certificates issued by other than CAs are outside the scope of this standard/recommendation.

cross certificate: A public-key or attribute certificate where the issuer and the subject/holder are different CAs or AAs respectively. CAs and AAs issue cross certificates to other CAs or AAs respectively as a mechanism to authorize the subject CA's existence (e.g. in a strict hierarchy) or to recognize the existence of the subject CA or holder AA (e.g. in a distributed trust model). The cross-certificate structure is used for both of these.

trust anchor: A trust anchor is a set of the following information in addition to the public key: algorithm identifier, public key parameters (if applicable), distinguished name of the holder of the associated private key (i.e., the subject CA) and optionally a validity period. The trust anchor may be provided in the form of a self-signed certificate. A trust anchor is trusted by a certificate using system and used for validating certificates in certification paths.

self-issued AC: An attribute certificate where the issuer and the subject are the same Attribute Authority. An Attribute Authority might use a self-issued AC, for example, to publish policy information.

3.3.1 attribute certificate

Change "3.3.1 attribute certificate:" to "3.3.1 attribute certificate (AC):"

3.3.12 certificate user

Replace the existing definition with the following new definition:

"An entity that needs to know, with certainty, the attributes and or public key of another entity".

3.3.13 certificate serial number

Replace the existing definition with the following new definition:

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

“ An integer value, unique within the issuing authority, which is unambiguously associated with a certificate issued by that authority.”

3.3.18 certificate path

Replace the existing definition with the following new definition:

“An ordered sequence of public key certificates of objects in the DIT which, together with the public key of the initial object in the path, can be processed to obtain that of the final object in the path.”

3.3.25 end entity

Replace the existing definition with the following new definition:

“Either a public key certificate subject that uses its private key for purposes other than signing certificates, or an attribute certificate holder that uses its attributes to gain access to a resource, or an entity that is a relying party.”

3.3.34 attribute certificate

Change “3.3.44 public key certificate:” to “3.3.44 public key certificate (PKC):”

4 Abbreviations

Add the following new abbreviation:

| | |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| AC | Attribute Certificate |
| OCSP | On-line Certificate Status Protocol |
| PKC | Public Key Certificate |

7 Public-keys and public-key certificates

Add the following at the beginning of the paragraph that currently begins with “A certification path logically forms an unbroken chain ...”.

The **issuer** and **subject** fields of each certificate are used, in part, to identify a valid path. For each pair of adjacent certificates in a valid certification path, the value of the **subject** field in one certificate shall match the value of the **issuer** field in the subsequent certificate. In addition, the value of the **issuer** field in the first certificate shall match the DN of the trust anchor. Only the names in these fields are used when checking validity of a certification path. Names in certificate extensions are not used for this purpose.

Add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph that currently begins with “A certification path logically forms an unbroken chain ...”. Note this paragraph was just updated as specified above.

The **distinguishedNameMatch** matching rule, defined in 13.5.2 in ITU-T Rec. X.501|ISO/IEC 9594-2, should be used to compare the Distinguished Name (DN) in the **issuer** field of one certificate with the DN in the **subject** field of another.

Add the following at the end of the third bulleted list item “Cross-certificate:

In some situations, conflicting or overlapping requirements for constraints, such as name constraints, may require a CA to issue more than one cross-certificate to another CA.

7.3 Certificate validity

In the third bullet of the first bulleted list, replace “authorizes a different authority” with “authorizes a different entity”.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

In the second paragraph (begins with “Authorities that do revoke...”, add the following immediately after “does not preclude the use of alternative mechanisms.”

One such alternative mechanism is the Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP) specified in IETF RFC 2560. Using this protocol, a relying party (client) requests the revocation status of a certificate from an OCSP server. The server may use **CRLs**, or other mechanisms to check the status of the certificate and respond to the client accordingly. If OCSP can be used by relying parties to check the status of a certificate, IETF RFC 3280 contains a certificate extension (Authority Info Access) that would be included in such certificates and would provide sufficient information to access an appropriate OCSP server.

Add the following footnotes:

IETF RFC 2560, X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP), June 1999.

IETF RFC 3280, Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile, April 2002.

Add the following to the end of the 3rd paragraph (begins with “Certificates, including public-key...”):

Invalidity date is the date/time at which it is known or suspected that the private key was compromised or that the certificate should otherwise be considered invalid. This date may be earlier than the revocation date. In the **CRL**, invalidity date is the value contained in the **invalidityDate** entry extension.

Add a new paragraph immediately before the paragraphs that begins with “Certificates, including public-key ...”, as follows:

Only a CA that is authorized to issue CRLs, may choose to delegate that authority to another entity. If this delegation is done, it shall be verifiable at the time of certificate/CRL verification. The **cRLDistributionPoints** extension can be used for this purpose. The **cRLIssuer** field of this extension would be populated with the name(s) of any entities, other than the certificate issuer itself, that have been authorized to issue CRLs concerning the revocation status of the certificate in question.

Clause 8 Public-key certificate and CRL extensions

8.1 Policy handling

8.1.5 Self-issued certificates

Replace a) with the following:

- a) as a convenient way of encoding the public key associated with the private key used to sign the certificate, so that it can be communicated to, and stored as trust anchors by, its certificate using systems.

Replace b) with the following:

- b) for certifying additional public keys of the CA used for purposes other than those covered by category a (such as OCSP and possibly CRL signing); and

Delete this note – editing error this should have been an editor’s note.

Replace c) with the following:

- c) as a convenient way of encoding the public key associated with the private key used to sign the certificate, so that it can be communicated to, and stored as trust anchors by, its certificate using systems

In the paragraph following list item c: replace “self-issued certificates issued in category a) are verified” with “self-issued certificates of category a) are self-signed certificates and are therefore verified...”.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

8.4 Certification path constraint extensions

8.4.2 Certificate extension fields

8.4.2.2 Name constraints extension

Add the following as a new paragraph immediately following the paragraph that begins with "The maximum field specifies the lower bound ..."

For the **directoryName** name form, a **certificate** is considered subordinate to the **base** (and therefore a candidate to be within the subtree) if the **SEQUENCE** of **RDNs**, which forms the full **DN** in **base**, is identical to the initial **SEQUENCE** of the same number of **RDNs** which forms the first part of the **DN** in the **subject** field of the **certificate**. The **DN** in the **subject** field of the **certificate** may have additional trailing **RDNs** in its sequence that do not appear in the **DN** in **base**. The **distinguishedNameMatch** matching rule is used to compare the value of **base** with the initial sequence of **RDNs** in the **DN** in the **subject** field of the certificate."

Add the following note immediately after the 4th paragraph of 8.4.2.2 as revised by TC1:

Note: This example is for illustrative purposes only. How to handle names that are in the name forms of the **GeneralName** type, except the **directoryName** name form, in their hierarchical structure, is not defined in this international standard | recommendation.

Add the following new paragraphs at the end of this clause:

Note that in some cases it may be required that more than one certificate be issued from a CA to another CA in order to achieve the desired results if some of the name constraints requirements conflict. For example, assume the Acme Corporation has 20 branches in the U.S.

The Widget Corporation wants to cross-certify the central CA of Acme Corporation, but only wants the Widget community to use Acme certificates for the subjects that meets the following criteria:

- Branch1 to Branch19 of Acme Corporation, all sections are acceptable as subject;
- Branch20 of Acme Corporation, all sections are unacceptable as subject except for subject in Purchasing Section.

This could be achieved by issued two certificates as follows; the first certificate would have a **permittedSubtrees** of {base: C=US, O=Acme} and an **excludedSubtrees** of {base: C=US, O=Acme, OU=branch20}. The second certificate would have a **permittedSubtrees** of {base: C=US, O=Acme, OU=branch20, OU=Purchasing}.

Annex G contains examples of use of the name constraints extension.

8.5 Basic CRL extensions

8.5.1 Requirements

Add the following new requirements:

- h) In addition to CRLs publishing notification that certificates have been revoked, there is a requirement to publish notification that certificates will be revoked as of a specified date and time in the future.
- i) There is a requirement to provide more efficient ways to indicate in a CRL that a set of certificates has been revoked.

8.5.2 CRL and CRL entry extension fields

8.5.2.2 Reason code extension

*Add the following immediately below the asn.1 for the **reasonCode** extension:*

- **unspecified** can be used to revoke certificates for reasons other than the specific codes.

8.5.2.5 CRL scope extension

Delete the CRL scope extension section 8.5.2.5 entirely.

8.5.2.6 Status referral extension

Add the following to the end of the first paragraph:

Any CRL containing this extension shall not be used as the source for a relying party to check revocation status of any certificate. Rather, a CRL containing this extension may be used by a relying party as an additional tool to locate the appropriate CRLs for checking revocation status.

Add the following two new CRL extensions:

8.5.2.10 To be revoked certificates extension

This CRL extension allows for notification that certificates will be revoked as of a specified date and time in the future. The **toBeRevoked** extension is used to specify the reason for the certificate revocation, the date and time at which the certificate will be revoked, and the group of certificates to be revoked. Each list can contain a single certificate serial number, a range of certificate serial numbers or a named **subtree**. These certificates may be public-key certificates or attribute certificates.

```

toBeRevoked EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX           ToBeRevokedSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-toBeRevoked }

ToBeRevokedSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE(1..MAX) OF ToBeRevokedGroup

ToBeRevokedGroup ::= SEQUENCE {
  certificateIssuer [0] GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  reasonInfo       [1] ReasonInfo OPTIONAL,
  revocationTime  GeneralizedTime,
  certificateGroup CertificateGroup }

ReasonInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
  reasonCode      CRLReason,
  holdInstructionCode HoldInstruction OPTIONAL }

CertificateGroup ::= CHOICE {
  serialNumbers   [0] CertificateSerialNumbers,
  serialNumberRange [1] CertificateGroupNumberRange,
  nameSubtree     [2] GeneralName }

CertificateGroupNumberRange ::= SEQUENCE {
  startingNumber [0] INTEGER,
  endingNumber   [1] INTEGER }

CertificateSerialNumbers ::= SEQUENCE SIZE(1..MAX) OF CertificateSerialNumber
    
```

The **certificateIssuer** field, if present, identifies the authority (CA or AA) that issued all the certificates listed in this **ToBeRevokedGroup**. If **certificateIssuer** is omitted, it defaults to the CRL issuer name.

The **reasonInfo** field, if present, identifies the reason for the certificate revocations. If present, this field indicates that all certificates identified in **ToBeRevokedGroup** will be revoked for the reason indicated in this field. If **reasonCode** contains the value **certificateHold**, the **holdInstructionCode** may also be present. If present, **holdInstructionCode** indicates the action to be taken on encountering any of the certificates identified in **RevokedGroup**. This action should only be taken, after the revocation time indicated in the **revocationTime** field has passed.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

The **revocationTime** field indicates the date and time at which this group of certificates will be revoked and should therefore be considered invalid. This date shall be later than the **thisUpdate** time of the CRL containing this extension. If **revocationTime** is before the **nextUpdate** time of the CRL containing this extension, the certificates shall be considered revoked between the **revocationTime** and the **nextUpdate** time by a relying party using a CRL containing this extension. Otherwise this is a notice that at specified time in the future these certificates will be revoked. Once the revocation time has passed either the CA has revoked the certificate or not. If it has revoked the certificate, future CRLs shall include this on the list of revoked certificates, at least until the certificate expires. If the CA has not revoked the certificate, but still intends to revoke it in the future, it may include the certificate in this extension on subsequent CRLs with a revised **revocationTime**. If the CA no longer intends to revoke the certificate, it may be excluded from all subsequent CRLs and the certificate shall not be considered revoked.

The **certificateGroup** field lists the set of certificates to be revoked. This field identifies the certificates issued by the authority identified in **certificateIssuer** to be revoked at the date/time identified in **revocationTime**. This set of certificates is not further refined by any outside controls (e.g. **issuingDistributionPoint**).

If **serialNumbers** is present, the certificate(s) with serial numbers indicated in this field, and issued by the identified certificate issuer, will be revoked at the specified time.

If **serialNumberRange** is present, all certificates in the range beginning with the starting serial number and ending with the ending serial number and issued by the identified certificate issuer will be revoked at the specified time.

If **nameSubtree** is present, all certificates with a subject/holder name that is subordinate to the specified name and issued by the identified certificate issuer will be revoked at the specified time. If the **nameSubtree** contains a DN then all DNs associated with the subject of a public-key certificate (i.e. **subject** field and **subjectAltNames** extension) or **holder** field of an attribute certificate need to be considered. For other name forms the **subjectAltNames** extension of public-key certificates and the **holder** field of attribute certificates need to be considered. If at least one of the names associated with the subject/holder, contained in the certificate, is within the subtree specified in **nameSubtree**, that certificate will be revoked at the specified time. As with the **nameConstraints** extension, not all name forms are appropriate for **subtree** specification. Only those that have recognized subordination rules should be used in this extension.

This extension may, at the option of the CRL issuer, be flagged critical or non-critical. As the information provided in this extension applies to revocations, which will occur in the future, it is recommended that it be flagged non-critical, reducing the risk of problems with interoperability and backward compatibility.

8.5.2.11 Revoked group of certificates extension

A set of certificates that has been revoked can be published using the following CRL extension. Each list of certificates to be revoked is associated with a specific certificate issuer and revocation time. Each list can contain a range of certificate serial numbers or a named subtree. These certificates may be public-key certificates or attribute certificates.

```
revokedGroups EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          RevokedGroupsSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-RevokedGroups }

RevokedGroupsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF RevokedGroup

RevokedGroup ::= SEQUENCE {
  certificateIssuer [0] GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  reasonInfo       [1] ReasonInfo OPTIONAL,
  invalidityDate   [2] GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
  revokedcertificateGroup RevokedCertificateGroup }

RevokedCertificateGroup ::= CHOICE {
  serialNumberRange NumberRange,
  nameSubtree        GeneralName }
```

The **certificateIssuer** field, if present, identifies the authority (CA or AA) that issued all the certificates listed in this **RevokedGroup**. If **certificateIssuer** is omitted, it defaults to the CRL issuer name.

The **reasonInfo** field, if present, identifies the reason for the certificate revocations. If present, this field indicates that all certificates identified in **RevokedGroup** were revoked for the reason indicated in this field. If **reasonCode** contains the value **certificateHold**, the **holdInstructionCode** may also be present. If present, **holdInstructionCode** indicates the action to be taken on encountering any of the certificates identified in **RevokedGroup**.

The **invalidityDate** field, if present, indicates the time from which all certificates identified in **RevokedGroup** should be considered invalid. This date shall be earlier than the date contained in **thisUpdate** field of the CRL. If omitted, all certificates identified in **RevokedGroup** should be considered invalid at least from the time indicated in the **thisUpdate** field of the CRL. If the status of the certificate prior to the **thisUpdate** time is critical to a certificate using system (e.g. to determine whether a digital signature that was created prior this CRL issuance occurred while the certificate was still valid or after it had been revoked), additional revocation status checking techniques will be required to determine the actual date/time from which a given certificate should be considered invalid.

The **revokedCertificateGroup** field lists the set of certificates that have been revoked. This field identifies the certificates issued by the authority identified in **certificateIssuer** revoked under the specified conditions. This set of certificates is not further refined by any outside controls (e.g. **issuingDistributionPoint**).

If **serialNumberRange** is present, all certificates containing certificate serial numbers within the specified range, issued by the identified certificate issuer are applicable.

If **nameSubtree** is present, all certificates with a subject/holder name that is subordinate to the specified name and issued by the identified certificate issuer will be revoked at the specified time. If the **nameSubtree** contains a DN then all DNs associated with the subject of a public-key certificate (i.e. **subject** field and **subjectAltNames** extension) or **holder** field of an attribute certificate need to be considered. For other name forms the **subjectAltNames** extension of public-key certificates and the **holder** field of attribute certificates need to be considered. If at least one of the names associated with the subject/holder, contained in the certificate, is within the subtree specified in **nameSubtree**, that certificate has been revoked. As with the **nameConstraints** extension, not all name forms are appropriate for subtree specification. Only those that have recognized subordination rules should be used in this extension.

This extension is always flagged critical. Otherwise a certificate using system may incorrectly assume that certificates, identified as revoked within this extension, are not revoked. When this extension is present it may be the only indication of revoked certificates in a CRL (i.e. the **revokedCertificates** may be empty) or it may list revoked certificates that are in addition to those indicated in the **revokedCertificates** field. A revoked certificate shall not be listed both in the **revokedCertificates** field and in this extension.

Add a new CRL extension for expired certificates on CRL as follows:

8.5.2.12 Expired certificates on CRL extension

This CRL extension field indicates that the CRL includes revocation notices for expired certificates.

```
expiredCertsOnCRL EXTENSION ::= {  
    SYNTAX      ExpiredCertsOnCRL  
    IDENTIFIED BY  id-ce-expiredCertsOnCRL }
```

```
ExpiredCertsOnCRL ::= GeneralizedTime
```

This extension is always non-critical.

The scope of a CRL containing this extension is extended to include the revocation status of certificates that expired at the exact time specified in the extension or after that time. If limitations in the CRL's

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

scope are specified (by either reason codes or by distribution points), that applies to expired certificates as well. The revocation status of a certificate shall not be updated once the certificate has expired.

8.6 CRL distribution points and delta-CRL extensions

8.6.2 CRL distribution point and delta-CRL extension fields

8.6.2.1 CRL distribution points extension

Add the following sentence to the end of the paragraph that begins “The **cRLIssuer** component ...”:

If any entity, other than the certificate issuer, is authorized E.g. possesses a certificate with **cRLSign** set in **KeyUsage**, to issue CRLs that address revocation status for this certificate, the name(s) of each such entity, as provided by the CA, shall be included in this field.

Note: Although this standard does not specify a method to provide a secure identification of the delegated CRL issuer, one example is a process where the certificate issuing authority issues to the indirect CRL issuer a certificate that indicates the delegation of issuing CRLs and that certifies the CRL issuer’s public key as being used to sign the indirectly issued CRLs by setting the **cRLSign** bit in the **keyUsage** extension.

8.6.2.2 Issuing distribution point extension

Add the following as a new paragraph immediately following the paragraph that begins with “The **distributionPoint** component contains...”:

If the CRL contains an **issuingDistributionPoint** extension with the **distributionPoint** field present, at least one name for the distribution point in the certificate (e.g., **cRLDistributionPoints**, **freshestCRL**, **issuer**) shall match a name for the distribution point in the CRL. Also, it may be the case that only the **nameRelativeToCRLIssuer** field is present. In that case, a name comparison would be done on the full DN, constructed by appending the value of the **nameRelativeToCRLIssuer** to the DN found in the **issuer** field of the CRL. If the names being compared are DNs (as opposed to names of other forms within the **GeneralNames** construct), the **distinguishedNameMatch** matching rule is used to compare the two DNs for equality.

Add a new paragraph immediately following the one that begins with “If **onlyContainsUserCerts** is true...” as follows:

If CRLs are partitioned by reason code, and the reason code changes for a revoked certificate (causing the certificate to move from one CRL stream to another), it is necessary to continue to include the certificate on the CRL stream for the old revocation reason until the **nextUpdate** times of all CRLs, that do not list the certificate, on the CRL stream for the new reason code have been reached.

10 Certification path processing procedure

10.1 Path processing inputs

Add new subclauses h), i), and j) as follows:

- h) an *initial-permitted-subtrees-set* containing an initial set of subtree specifications defining subtrees within which subject names (of the name form used to specify the subtrees) are permitted. In the certificates in the certification path all subject names of a given name form, for which initial permitted subtrees are defined, shall fall within the permitted subtrees set for that given name form. This input may also contain the special value *unbounded* to indicate that initially all subject names are acceptable. For clause 10, subject names are those name values appearing in the **subject** field or the **subjectAltName** extension;
- i) an *initial-excluded-subtrees-set* containing an initial set of subtree specifications defining subtrees within which the subject names in the certificates in the certification path cannot fall. This input may also be an empty set to indicate that initially no subtree exclusions are in effect;

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

- j) an *initial-required-name-forms* containing an initial set of name forms indicating that all certificates in the path must include a subject name of at least one of the specified name forms. This input may also be an empty set to indicate that no specific name forms are required for subject names in the certificates.

10.4 Initialization step

Replace bullet b), c), and d) with the following:

- b) Initialize the *permitted-subtrees* variable to the *initial-permitted-subtrees-set* value;
- c) Initialize the *excluded-subtrees* variable to the *initial-excluded-subtrees-set* value;
- d) Initialize the *required-name-forms* variable to the *initial-required-name-forms* value; *Editor's note – this is a replacement for the item d) stated in Technical Corrigendum 1 to the 4th edition of this specification.*

11 PKI directory schema

11.2 PKI directory attributes

11.2.9 Certificate policy attribute

Add the following note to the end of this clause:

Note: The option to include a hash in this attribute is purely to perform an integrity check against data located from a source other than the directory. The HASH stored in the Directory needs to be protected. Directory security services, including strong authentication, access control and/or signed attributes could be used for this purpose. In addition, even if the HASH matches the original CP/CPS document, there are additional security requirements to ensure that the original specification itself is the correct document (e.g. the document is signed by an appropriate authority).

11.3 PKI directory matching rules

Add the following to the end of the first paragraph:

The enhanced certificate matching rule provides the ability to perform more sophisticated matching against certificates held in directory entries.

Add the following new matching rule, which includes the basic matching capability of the **certificateMatch** matching rule with the extensions described below.

11.3.10 Enhanced certificate match

The enhanced certificate match rule compares a presented value with an attribute value of type **Certificate**. It selects one or more certificates on the basis of various characteristics.

```
enhancedCertificateMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      EnhancedCertificateAssertion
  ID          id-mr-enhancedCertificateMatch }

EnhancedCertificateAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  serialNumber      [0] CertificateSerialNumber  OPTIONAL,
  issuer            [1] Name                      OPTIONAL,
  subjectKeyIdentifier [2] SubjectKeyIdentifier   OPTIONAL,
  authorityKeyIdentifier [3] AuthorityKeyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
  certificateValid   [4] Time                     OPTIONAL,
  privateKeyValid   [5] GeneralizedTime          OPTIONAL,
  subjectPublicKeyAlgID [6] OBJECT IDENTIFIER     OPTIONAL,
  keyUsage          [7] KeyUsage                  OPTIONAL,
  subjectAltName    [8] AltName                    OPTIONAL,
  policy            [9] CertPolicySet              OPTIONAL,
  pathToName       [10] GeneralNames               OPTIONAL,
  subject          [11] Name                       OPTIONAL,
```


FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```
    nameConstraints      [12] NameConstraintsSyntax OPTIONAL
  }
```

(ALL EXCEPT ({ --none; at least one component shall be present -- })))

```
AltName ::= SEQUENCE {
  altnameType      AltNameType,
  altNameValue     GeneralName OPTIONAL }

```

The directory search operation allows for multiple values of **EnhancedCertificateAssertion** to be combined in filter specifications, including and/or logic. This matching rule returns TRUE if all of the components that are present in the presented value match the corresponding components of the attribute value, as follows:

Matching for **serialNumber**; **issuer**; **subjectKeyIdentifier**; **authorityKeyIdentifier**; **certificateValid**, **privateKeyValid**, **policy**, **subject**, and **nameConstraints** components is as defined for the same components in the **certificateMatch** matching rule.

subjectAltName component contains an **altNameType** and optional **altNameValue** fields. If **altNameValue** is present, the value shall of the same name form as indicated in **altNameType**.

subjectAltName matches if at least one of the following conditions is true:

- The presented value contains only the **altNameType** component and the stored attribute value contains the subject alternative name extension with an **AltNames** component of the same type as indicated in the presented value;
- The presented value contains both the **altNameType** and **altNameValue** components and the stored attribute value contains the subject alternative name extension with an **AltNames** component of the same type and value indicated in the presented value.

subjectAltName match fails if at least one of the following conditions is true:

- The stored attribute value does not contain the subject alternative name extension;
- The stored attribute value contains the subject alternative name extension but the **altNames** component does not include the same type as identified in the presented value;
- The presented value contains both the **altNameType** and **altNameValue** components and the stored attribute value contains the subject alternative name extension with an **AltNames** component of the same type indicated in the presented value, but the stored value does not contain the same value of that type as in the presented value;

subjectAltName match is undefined under the following condition:

- If the presented value contains both the **altNameType** and **altNameValue** components and the stored attribute value contains the subject alternative name extension with an **AltNames** component of the same type indicated in the presented value, but the type is one for which the directory is unable to compare values for purposes of determining a match. This may be because the name form is not appropriate for matching or because the directory is unable to perform the required comparisons;

pathToName matches unless the certificate has a name constraints extension which inhibits the construction of a certification path to any of the presented name values. For example, if attempting to retrieve certificates that form a path to a user certificate which has a subject value of “dc=com; dc=corporate; cn=john.smith”, it may be useful to include an assertion in the search operation containing this DN in the **pathToName** component. A stored certificate that contained a name constraints extension that excluded the complete subtree below base “dc=com; dc=company A” would fail in certification path validation to that user certificate and would therefore not be a matched value for this sample assertion.

13 Attribute Authority, SOA and Certification Authority relationship

Add the following to the end of clause:

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

The delegation model that is fully described in this specification is one where the privilege delegator is itself both claimant for the privilege and an AA that can issue certificates delegating that privilege to others. The other model that is permitted, but not fully described in this Specification, allows for an independent Delegation Service (DS) in which the entity issuing certificates that delegate privilege cannot itself act as a claimant for that privilege. The DS model is particularly relevant to environments that wish to maintain some central management over the set of privileges delegated within their domain. For example a set of one or more DS servers performing delegation, rather than individual privilege holders, allows the total set of privileges delegated within an environment to be determined from a centralized facility and enables policy and management decisions to be modified accordingly. Two distinct deployment models are possible. In one model, privilege is assigned by an SOA to privilege holders and those holders are authorized to delegate that privilege to others.

Two delegation models are described in this specification. The first delegation model is one where the privilege delegator is itself both claimant for the privilege and an AA that can issue certificates delegating that privilege to others. The second model allows for an independent Delegation Service (DS) in which the entity issuing certificates that delegate privilege cannot itself act as a claimant for that privilege. The DS model is particularly relevant to environments that wish to maintain some central management over the set of privileges delegated within their domain. For example a set of one or more DS servers performing delegation, rather than individual privilege holders, allows the total set of privileges delegated within an environment to be determined from a centralized facility and enables policy and management decisions to be modified accordingly. Two distinct deployment models are possible for DS servers. In one model, a privilege is assigned by an SOA to privilege holders and those holders are authorized to delegate that privilege to others. However, rather than issue the attribute certificates that delegate the privilege themselves, the privilege holder requests the DS to delegate that privilege on their behalf. The DS does not itself hold that privilege and therefore cannot act as a claimant for that privilege, however the DS is authorized by the SOA to issue attribute certificates on behalf of other privilege holders. The second deployment model is similar to the first with the following exception. The DS is actually a holder that is assigned the privilege to be delegated, but the DS is not authorized to act as a claimant for the privilege, only as a delegator. In this case, the noAssertion extension must be set in the AC issued to the DS by the SOA. The DS is termed an indirect issuer.

In both deployment models the SOA issues attributes/privileges to subordinate AAs. The AAs then request the DS to issue a subset of these privilege attributes to other holders. In the second deployment model the DS can check that an AA is delegating within the overall scope set by the SOA, in the first deployment model the DS cannot check and the relying party will have to check that delegation was performed correctly.

14 PMI models

Add a new attribute type for XML encoded privilege information as follows:

14.5 XML privilege information attribute

The specification of privileges is generally an application-specific issue that is outside the scope of this Specification. While this attribute does not define any specific privilege information, it provides a container attribute in which XML-encoded privileges can be conveyed in attribute certificates.

```
xmlPrivilegeInfo  ATTRIBUTE ::= {  
    WITH SYNTAX   UTF8String –contains XML-encoded privilege information  
    ID            id-at-xmlPrivilegeInfo }
```

The XML schema for the role attribute type can be defined either with ASN.1 or with XSD.

The XML contained within the **UTF8String** needs to be self-identifying.

The following is an ASN.1 schema defining an XML role attribute type. It is followed by an XSD specification for the same attribute type, and by an example XML instance. The example instance is a

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

valid instance for both the ASN.1 and the XSD schema instances, and can be validated by either ASN.1 or XSD tools.

The example schema defines a role attribute with an ID, an issuing authority and the name of the role.

```
CERTIFICATE-ATTRIBUTE DEFINITIONS ::=
BEGIN
  Role ::= [UNCAPITALIZED] SEQUENCE {
    id      [ATTRIBUTE] XML-ID,
    authorities SEQUENCE (1..MAX) OF
      authority UTF8String,
    name    UTF8String }

  XML-ID ::= UTF8String
END
```

The following XSD schema is an alternative (exactly equivalent) definition:

```
<schema xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/08/XMLSchema">
  <element name="role">
    <attribute name="id" type="ID"/>
    <complexType>
      <sequence>
        <element name="authorities">
          <complexType>
            <sequence>
              <element name="authority" type="string" minOccurs="1" maxOccurs="*" />
            </sequence>
          </complexType>
        </element>
        <element name="name" type="string" />
      </sequence>
    </complexType>
  </element>
</schema>
```

An example of an instance conforming to the above schema definitions, that would be a value of the `XMLPrivilegeInfo` attribute type would be:

```
<role id="123" xmlns="http://www.example.org/certificates/attribute">
  <authorities>
    <authority>Fictitious Organization</authority>
  </authorities>
  <name>manager</name>
</role>
```

15 Privilege management certificate extensions

15.1 Basic privilege management extensions

15.1.2 Basic privilege management extension fields

Add the following two new extension to the list:

- e) *Indirect issuer*
- f) *No assertion.*

Add the following extension field:

15.1.2.5 Indirect issuer extension

In some environments, privilege may be delegated indirectly. In such cases, the delegator requests that an AA issue a certificate delegating privilege on their behalf to another entity. The indirect issuer field is used in either an attribute certificate or a public-key certificate issued to an AA by an SOA. Presence of this extension means that the subject AA is authorized by that SOA to act as a proxy and issue certificates that delegate privilege, on behalf of other delegators.

```

indirectIssuer EXTENSION ::=
  {
    SYNTAX          BOOLEAN
    IDENTIFIED BY  id-ce-indirectIssuer
  }
    
```

This extension is always non-critical.

The indirect issuer matching rule compares for equality a presented value with an attribute value of type **AttributeCertificate**.

```

indirectIssuerMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX BOOLEAN
  ID     id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch }
    
```

This matching rule returns TRUE if the stored value contains the **indirectIssuer** extension and if the value that is present in the presented value match the stored value.

15.1.2.6 No assertion extension.

If present, this extension indicates that the AC holder cannot assert the privileges indicated in the attributes of the AC. This field can only be inserted into AA ACs, and not into end entity ACs. If present, this extension shall always be marked critical.

```

noAssertion EXTENSION ::=
  {
    SYNTAX NULL
    IDENTIFIED BY { id-ce-noAssertion }
  }
    
```

15.3 Source of Authority extensions

15.3.2 SOA extension fields

15.3.2.1 SOA identifier extension

Add the following as a new paragraph immediately before the sentence “This extension is always non-critical.”

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

Cross-certification applies only to public-key certificates and not to attribute certificates. Therefore a cross-certificate issued to the CA that is the issuer of a certificate containing the SOA identifier extension does not provide transitive trust to the SOA identified in this extension.

Add the following as a new clause

15.3.2.1.1 SOA identifier match

The SOA identifier matching rule compares a presented value with an attribute value of type **Certificate**.

```
sOIdentifierMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {  
  SYNTAX    NULL  
  ID        id-mr-sOIdentifierMatch }
```

This matching rule returns TRUE if the stored value contains an SOA Identifier extension.

15.5 Delegation extensions

15.5.1 Requirements

Add the following new requirement to the list:

- e) There is a requirement for an independent Delegation Service (DS) to issue certificates that delegate privileges, whilst the DS server cannot itself act as a claimant for those privileges.

15.5.2 Delegation extension fields

Add the following extension field:

- e) Indirect Issuer

Add the following new section:

15.5.2.5 Indirect issuer extension

In some environments, privilege may be delegated indirectly. In such cases, the delegator requests that a DS server issue a certificate delegating privilege on their behalf to another entity. The indirect issuer field is used in either an attribute certificate or a public-key certificate issued to a DS server by an SOA. Presence of this extension means that the subject AA (the DS server) is authorized by that SOA to act as a proxy and issue certificates that delegate privilege, on behalf of other delegators.

```
indirectIssuer EXTENSION ::=  
{  
  SYNTAX    NULL  
  IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-indirectIssuer  
}
```

This extension is always non-critical.

The indirect issuer matching rule compares for equality a presented value with an attribute value of type **AttributeCertificate**.

```
indirectIssuerMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {  
  SYNTAX NULL  
  ID      id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch }
```

This matching rule returns TRUE if the stored value contains the **indirectIssuer** extension and if the value that is present in the presented value match the stored value.

15.5.x) Issued on Behalf Of

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

This extension is inserted into an AC by an indirect issuer (DS server). It indicates the AA that has requested the DS server to issue the AC, and allows the delegation chain to be constructed and validated.

```
issuedOnBehalfOf EXTENSION ::= {  
    SYNTAX GeneralName  
    ID id-ce-issuedOnBehalfOf }
```

The GeneralName is the name of the AA who has asked the indirect issuer (DS server) to issue this AC

The issuer of this AC must have been granted the privilege to issue ACs on behalf of other AAs by an SOA, through the IndirectIssuer extension in its AC.

This extension may be critical or non-critical as necessary to ensure delegation path validation.

16 Privilege path processing procedure

16.3 Delegation processing procedure

Add the following new *text to the end of this clause*:

In the case where attribute certificates are issued by an indirect issuer (DS) the relying party should fully validate the delegation chain as follows.

- i) Starting with the end entity AC, the RP extracts the issuer name and the issuedOnBehalfOf name.
- ii) The RP retrieves the AC of the issuer and validates that the issuer is an indirect issuer of the SOA (i.e. has the indirectIssuer extension).
- iii) The RP retrieves the AC of the issuedOnBehalfOf AA and validates that the AA has a superset of the privilege attributes issued to the end entity.

The RP recurses to step ii) using the AC of the AA, and thereby moves up the chain until it arrives at the AC of an AA that is issued by the SOA.

17 PMI directory schema

17.1 PMI directory object classes

Add the following new *policy object class as follows*:

17.1.7 Protected privilege policy object class

The protected privilege policy object class is used in defining entries for objects that contain privilege policies protected within attribute certificates.

```
protectedPrivilegePolicy    OBJECT-CLASS ::= {  
    SUBCLASS OF             {top}  
    KIND                    auxiliary  
    MAY CONTAIN             {protPrivPolicy }  
  
    ID                      id-oc-protectedPrivilegePolicy }
```

17.2 PMI Directory attributes

Add the following two new *policy attributes as follows*:

17.2.8 Protected privilege policy attribute

The protected privilege policy attribute contains privilege policies, protected within attribute certificates.

```

protPrivPolicy    ATTRIBUTE ::= {
    WITH SYNTAX           AttributeCertificate
    EQUALITY MATCHING RULE attributeCertificateExactMatch
    ID                   id-at-protPrivPolicy }

```

Note that unlike typical attribute certificates, those within the **protPrivPolicy** attribute contain privilege policies, not privileges. The issuer and holder components of these attribute certificates identify the same entity. The attribute that is included in the attribute certificate contained within the **protPrivPolicy** attribute is either the **privPolicy** attribute or the **xmlPrivPolicy** attribute.

17.2.9 Protected privilege policy attribute

The XML protected privilege policy attribute contains XML encoded privilege policy information.

```

xmlPrivPolicy    ATTRIBUTE ::= {
    WITH SYNTAX           UTF8String —contains XML-encoded privilege policy information
    ID                   id-at-xMLPprotPrivPolicy }

```

Annex A

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “object identifier assignments” in Annex A.2: Certificate extension module.

```

id-ce-toBeRevoked           OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 58}
id-ce-RevokedGroups       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 59}
id-ce-expiredCertsOnCRL    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 60}

```

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “attribute certificate extensions” in Annex A.3: Attribute certificate framework module.

```

id-ce-indireclssuer        OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 61}
id-ce-noAssertion         OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 62}

```

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “matching rule OIDs” in Annex A.2: Certificate extension module.

```

id-mr-enhancedCertificateMatch OBJECT IDENTIFIER :: {id-mr 65}

```

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “PMI matching rules” in Annex A.3: Attribute certificate framework module.

```

id-mr-sOAIentifierMatch       OBJECT IDENTIFIER :: {id-mr 66}
id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch     OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 67}

```

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “directory attributes” in Annex A.3: Attribute certificate framework module.

```

id-at-protPrivPolicy         OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 74}
id-at-xMLPrivilegeInfo      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 75}

```

Add the following new object class definitions to the end of the “object classes” in Annex A.3: Attribute certificate framework module.

```

id-oc-protectedPrivilegePolicy OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 34}

```

An amended Annex A appears at the end of this document. Note that the Annex also contains the ASN, I statements of several approved Technical Corrigenda.

Replace the existing Annex B with the following:

Annex B

CRL Generation and Processing Rules

(This annex forms an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard)

B.1 Introduction

A relying party (certificate user), needs the ability to check the revocation status of a certificate in order to determine whether or not to trust that certificate. Certificate Revocation Lists (CRL) are one mechanism for relying parties to obtain the revocation information. Other mechanisms may also be used, but are outside the scope of this Specification.

This annex addresses the use of CRLs for certificate revocation status checking by relying parties. Various authorities may have different policies regarding their issuance of revocation lists. For instance, in some cases the certificate issuing authority may authorize a different authority to issue a certificate revocation list for the certificates it issues. Some authorities may combine revocation of end-entity and CA-certificates into a single list while other authorities may split these into separate lists. Some authorities may partition their certificate population onto CRL fragments and some authorities may issue delta updates to a revocation list between regular CRL intervals. As a result, relying parties need to be able to determine the scope of the CRLs they retrieve to enable them to ensure they have the complete set of revocation information covering the scope of the certificate in question for the revocation reasons of interest, given the policy under which they are working. This annex provides a mechanism for the relying parties to determine the scope of retrieved CRLs.

This annex is written for revocation status checking of public-key certificates using CRLs, Full and Complete End-Entity CRLs (EPRLs) and Certification Authority Revocation Lists (CARLs). However, this description can also be applied to revocation status checking of attribute certificates using Attribute Certificate Revocation Lists (ACRL) and Attribute Authority Revocation Lists (AARL). For purposes of this annex, ACRL can be considered in place of CRL, EPRL can be full and complete end-entity ACRL, and AARL in place of CARL; Similarly, the directory attributes identified in B.4 shall be mapped to those for the AARL and ACRL and the fields identifying certificate types in the Issuing Distribution Point extension can be mapped to those applicable to PMI.

B.1.1 CRL types

CRLs of one or more of the following types may be available to a relying party, based on the revocation aspects of the policy of the certificate issuing authority:

- Full and complete CRL;
- Full and complete end-entity CRL (EPRL);
- Full and complete Certification Authority Revocation List (CARL);
- Distribution Point CRL, EPRL or CARL;
- Indirect CRL, EPRL or CARL (ICRL);
- Delta CRL, EPRL or CARL;
- Indirect dCRL, EPRL or CARL.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

A full and complete CRL is a list of all revoked end-entity and CA-certificates issued by an authority for any and all reasons.

A full and complete EPRL is a list of all revoked end-entity certificates issued by an authority for any and all reasons.

A full and complete CARL is a list of revoked CA-certificates issued by an authority for any and all reasons.

A distribution point CRL, EPRL or CARL is one that covers all or a subset of certificates issued by an authority. The subset could be based on a variety of criteria.

An indirect CRL, EPRL or CARL (ICRL) is a CRL that contains a list of revoked certificates, in which some or all of those certificates were not issued by the authority signing and issuing the CRL.

A delta CRL, EPRL or CARL is a CRL that only contains changes to a CRL that is complete for the given scope at the time of the CRL referenced in the dCRL. Note that the referenced CRL might be one that is complete for the given scope or it might be a dCRL that is used to locally construct a CRL that is complete for the given scope.

All of the above CRL types (except for the dCRL) are CRL types that are complete for their given scope. A dCRL shall be used in conjunction with an associated CRL that is complete for the same scope in order to form a complete picture of the revocation status of certificates.

An indirect delta-CRL, EPRL or CARL is a CRL which only contains changes to a set of one or more CRLs, that are complete for their given scopes and in which some or all of those certificates may not have been issued by the authority signing and issuing this CRL.

Within this annex as well as this Specification, "Scope of a CRL" is defined by two independent dimensions. One dimension is the set of certificates covered by the CRL. Another dimension is the set of reason codes covered by the CRL. The scope of a CRL can be determined in one or more of the following ways:

- Issuing Distribution Point (IDP) extension in the CRL; or
- Other means, outside the scope of this Specification.

B.1.2 CRL processing

If a relying party is using CRLs as the mechanism to determine if a certificate is revoked, they shall use the appropriate CRL(s) for that certificate. This annex describes a procedure for obtaining and processing appropriate CRLs by walking through a number of specific steps. An implementation functionally equivalent to the external behaviour resulting from this procedure shall also be considered compliant with this annex and the associated Specification. The algorithm used by a particular implementation to derive the correct output (i.e. revocation status for a certificate) from the given inputs (the certificate itself and input from local policy) is not standardized. For example, although this procedure is described as a sequence of steps to be processed in order, an implementation may use CRLs which are in its local cache rather than retrieving CRLs each time it processes a certificate, provided those CRLs are complete for the scope of the certificate and do not violate any of the parameters of the certificate or policy.

The following general steps are described in B.2 through B.5 below:

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

- 1) Determine Parameters for CRLs;
- 2) Determine CRLs Required;
- 3) Obtain the CRLs;
- 4) Process the CRLs.

Step 1) identifies the parameters from the certificate and elsewhere that will be used to determine which types of CRLs are required.

Step 2) applies the values of the parameters to make the determination.

Step 3) identifies the directory attributes from which the CRL types can be retrieved.

Step 4) describes the processing of appropriate CRLs.

B.2 Determine parameters for CRLs

Information located in the certificate itself, as well information from the policy under which the relying party is operating, provide the parameters for determining the appropriateness of candidate CRLs. The following information is required to determine which CRL types are appropriate:

- Certificate type (i.e. end-entity or CA);
- Critical CRL Distribution Point;
- Critical Freshest CRL;
- Reason codes of interest.

The certificate type can be determined from the basic constraints extension in the certificate. If the extension is present, it indicates whether the certificate is a CA-certificate or an end-entity certificate. If the extension is absent, the certificate type is considered to be end-entity. This information is required to determine if a CRL, EPRL or CARL can be used to check the certificate for revocation.

If the certificate contains a critical CRL Distribution Point extension, the relying party certificate processing system shall understand this extension and obtain and use the CRL(s) pointed to by the CRL Distribution Point extension for the reason codes of interest in order to determine revocation status of the certificate. Reliance on a full CRL, for instance, would not be sufficient.

If the certificate contains a critical Freshest CRL extension the relying party cannot use the certificate without first retrieving and checking the freshest CRL.

The reason codes of interest are determined by policy and are generally supplied by the application. It is recommended that these should include all reason codes. This information is required to determine which CRLs are sufficient in terms of reason codes.

Note that policy may also dictate whether or not a relying party is expected to check dCRLs for revocation status, when the **freshestCRL** extension is flagged non-critical or is absent from the certificate. Though excluded from this step, the processing of these optional dCRLs is described in step 4).

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

B.3 Determine CRLs required

The values of the parameters described in B.2 determine the criteria upon which the CRL types required to check revocation status of a given certificate is determined. The determination of CRL types can be done based on the following sets of criteria as described in B.3.1 through B.3.4 below.

- End-entity certificate with critical CRL DP asserted;
- End-entity certificate with no critical CRL DP asserted;
- CA-certificate with critical CRL DP asserted;
- CA-certificate with no critical CRL DP asserted.

Handling of the remaining parameters (critical freshest CRL extension and set of reason codes of interest) is done within each of the subclauses.

Note that in each case, more than one CRL type can satisfy the requirements. Where there is a choice of CRL types, the relying party may select any of the appropriate types to use.

B.3.1 End-entity with critical CRL DP

If the certificate is an end-entity certificate and **cRLDistributionPoints** extension is present in the certificate and flagged critical, the following CRLs shall be obtained:

- A CRL from one of the nominated distribution Point CRLs that covers one or more of the reason codes of interest;
- If all the reason codes of interest are not covered by that CRL, revocation status for the remaining reason codes may be satisfied by any combination of the following CRLs:
 - Additional distribution point CRLs;
 - Additional complete CRLs;
 - Additional complete EPRLs.

If the freshest CRL extension is also present in the certificate and if flagged critical, one or more CRLs shall also be obtained from one or more of the nominated distribution points in that extension, ensuring that freshest revocation information for all reason codes of interest is checked.

B.3.2 End-entity with no critical CRL DP

If the certificate is an end-entity certificate and the **cRLDistributionPoints** extension is absent from the certificate or present and not flagged critical, revocation status for the reason codes of interest may be satisfied by any combination of the following CRLs:

- Distribution point CRLs (if present);
- Complete CRLs;
- Complete EPRLs.

If the freshest CRL extension is also present in the certificate and if flagged critical, one or more CRLs shall also be obtained from one or more of the nominated distribution points in that extension, ensuring that freshest revocation information for all reason codes of interest is checked.

B.3.3 CA with critical CRL DP

If the certificate is a CA and the **cRLDistributionPoints** extension is present in the certificate and flagged critical, the following CRLs/CARLs shall be obtained:

- A CRL or CARL from one of the nominated distribution Points that covers one or more of the reason codes of interest;

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

- If all the reason codes of interest are not covered by that CRL/CARL, revocation status for the remaining reason codes may be satisfied by any combination of the following CRLs/CARLs:
 - Additional distribution point CRLs/CARLs;
 - Additional complete CRLs;
 - Additional complete CARLs.

If the freshest CRL extension is also present in the certificate and if flagged critical, one or more CRLs/CARLs shall also be obtained from one or more of the nominated distribution points in that extension, ensuring that freshest revocation information for all reason codes of interest is checked.

B.3.4 CA with no critical CRL DP

If the certificate is a CA certificate and the **cRLDistributionPoints** extension is absent from the certificate or present and not flagged critical, revocation status for the reason codes of interest may be satisfied by any combination of the following CRLs:

- Distribution point CRLs/CARLs (if present);
- Complete CRLs;
- Complete CARLs.

If the freshest CRL extension is also present in the certificate and if flagged critical, one or more CRLs/CARLs shall also be obtained from one or more of the nominated distribution points in that extension, ensuring that freshest revocation information for all reason codes of interest is checked.

B.4 Obtain CRLs

If the relying party is retrieving appropriate CRLs from the Directory, these CRLs are obtained from the CRL DP or certificate issuer directory entry by retrieving the appropriate attributes, i.e. one or more of the following attributes:

- Certificate Revocation List;
- Authority Revocation List;
- Delta Revocation List.

B.5 Process CRLs

After considering the parameters discussed in B.2, identifying appropriate CRL types as described in B.3 and retrieving an appropriate set of CRLs as described in B.4, a relying party is ready to process the CRLs. The set of CRLs will contain at least one base CRL and may also contain one or more dCRLs. For each CRL being processed, the relying party shall ensure that the CRL is accurate with respect to its scope. The relying party has already determined that the CRL is appropriate for the scope of the certificate of interest, through the process of B.2 and B.3 above. In addition, validity checks shall be conducted on the CRLs and they shall be checked to determine whether or not the certificate has been revoked. These checks are described in B.5.1 through B.5.4 below.

B.5.1 Validate base CRL scope

As described in B.3, there can be more than one type of CRL that can be used as the base CRL for checking revocation status of a certificate. Depending on the policy of issuing authority with respect to CRL issuance, the relying party may have one or more of the following base CRL types available to them.

- Complete CRL for all entities;
- Complete EPRL;
- Complete CARL;
- Distribution Point Based CRL/EPRL/CARL.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

Subclauses B.5.1.1 through B.5.1.4 provide the set of conditions which shall be true in order for a relying party to use a CRL of each type as the base CRL for certificate revocation status checking for reason codes of interest.

Indirect base CRLs are addressed within each of the subclauses.

B.5.1.1 Complete CRL

In order to determine that a CRL is a complete CRL for end-entity and CA-certificates for which the CRL issuer is responsible, for all reason codes of interest, the following shall be true:

- Delta CRL indicator extension shall be absent; and
- Issuing distribution point extension may be present; and
- Either the issuing distribution point extension shall not contain distribution point field or one of the names in the distribution point field shall match the issuer field in the CRL; and
- Issuing distribution point extension shall either not contain any of the following fields or if it contains any of the following fields, none of the fields present shall be set to TRUE: containsUserPublicKeyCerts, containsCACerts, containsUserAttributeCerts, containsAACerts, and/or containsSOAPublicKeyCerts; and
- If the **reasonCodes** field is present in the issuing distribution point extension, the reasons code field shall include all the reasons of interest to the application; and
- Issuing distribution point extension may or may not contain **indirectCRL** field (hence, this field need not be checked).

B.5.1.2 Complete EPRL

In order to determine that a CRL is a complete EPRL for reason codes of interest, all of the following shall be true:

- Delta CRL indicator extension shall be absent; and
- Issuing distribution point extension shall be present; and
- Either the issuing distribution point extension shall not contain distribution point field or one of the names in the distribution point field shall match the issuer field in the CRL; and
- Issuing distribution point extension shall contain **containsUserPublicKeyCerts** field. This field shall be set to **TRUE**; and
- If the **reasonCodes** field is present in the issuing distribution point extension, the reasons code field shall include all the reasons of interest to the application; and
- Issuing distribution point extension may or may not contain **indirectCRL** field (hence, this field need not be checked); and

This CRL may be only used if the relying party has determined the subject certificate to be an end entity certificate. Thus, if the subject certificate contains the **basicConstraints** extension, its value shall be **cA=FALSE**.

B.5.1.3 Complete CARL

In order to determine that a CRL is a complete CARL for reason codes of interest, all of the following conditions shall be true:

- Delta CRL indicator extension shall be absent; and
- Issuing point distribution shall be present; and
- Either the issuing distribution point extension shall not contain distribution point field or one of the names in the distribution point field shall match the issuer field in the CRL; and

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

- Issuing distribution point shall contain **containsCACerts** field. This field shall be set to **TRUE**; and
- If the **reasonCodes** field is present in the issuing distribution point extension, the reasons code field shall include all the reasons of interest to the application; and
- Issuing distribution point may or may not contain **indirectCRL** field (hence, this field need not be checked); and

This CARL may be only used if the subject certificate is a CA-certificate. Thus, the subject certificate shall contain the **basicConstraints** extension with the value **ca=TRUE**.

B.5.1.4 Distribution point based CRL/EPRL/CARL

In order to determine that a CRL is one of the CRLs indicated by a CRL distribution point extension or freshest CRL Extension in the certificate, all of the following conditions shall be true:

- Either the distribution point field in the CRL's issuing distribution point extension shall be absent (only when not looking for a critical CRL DP), or one of the names in the distribution point field in the CRL distribution point extension or freshest CRL extension of the certificate shall match one of the names in the distribution point field in the issuing distribution point extension of the CRL. Alternatively, one of the names in the **cRLIssuer** field of the certificate's CRL DP or freshest CRL extension can match one of the names in DP of the IDP; and
- Issuing distribution point extension shall either not contain any of the following fields, or if it contains any of the following fields, none of the fields present shall be set to TRUE: **containsUserPublicKeyCerts**, **containsCACerts**, **containsUserAttributeCerts**, **containsAACerts**, and/or **containsSOAPublicKeyCerts**, or the field appropriate for the certificate type shall be set to TRUE (See Table B-1 for field type for each certificate type); and
- If the reasons code field is present in the CRL distribution point extension or freshest CRL extension of the certificate, this field shall be either absent from the issuing distribution point extension of the CRL or contain at least one of the reason codes asserted in the CRL distribution point extension of the certificate; and
- If the **cRLIssuer** field is absent from the CRL distribution point extension of the certificate, the CRL shall be signed by the same CA that signed the certificate; and
- If the **cRLIssuer** field is present in the relative extension (CRL distribution point or freshest CRL extension) of the certificate, the CRL shall be signed by the CRL Issuer identified in the CRL distribution point extension or freshest CRL extension of the certificate and the CRL shall contain the **indirectCRL** field in the issuing distribution point extension.

Note: When testing the reasons and cRLIssuer field for presence, the test succeeds only if the field is present in the same DistributionPoint of the CRL DP or freshest CRL extension for which there is a name match in the distribution point field of the IDP extension in the corresponding CRL.

TABLE B-1: Certificate Type and Issuing Distribution Point Field

| Certificate Type | Issuing Distribution Point Field |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| End Entity (public key) | containsUserPublicKeyCerts |
| CA | containsCACerts |
| End Entity (attribute) | containsUserAttributeCerts |

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|-----|---------------------------|
| AA | containsAACerts |
| SOA | containsSOAPublicKeyCerts |

B.5.2 Validate delta CRL scope

The relying party may also be checking dCRLs, either because required to through a critical **freshestCRL** extension in the certificate or CRL, or because the policy under which the relying party is operating requires dCRL checking.

A relying party can always be sure that it has the appropriate CRL information for a certificate if all of the following conditions are met:

- The base CRL the relying party is using is appropriate for the certificate (in terms of the scope); and
- The delta CRL the relying party is using is appropriate for the certificate (in terms of the scope); and
- The base CRL was issued at the time or later than the base CRL referenced by the dCRL.

In order to determine that the dCRL is appropriate for the certificate, all of the following conditions shall be true:

- Delta CRL indicator extension shall be present; and
- The dCRL shall be issued after the base CRL. One way to ensure this is to check that the CRL number in the **crNumber** extension of the dCRL is greater than the CRL number in the **crNumber** extension of the base CRL the relying party is using and the **CRLStreamIdentifier** fields in the base and the dCRL match. This approach may require additional logic to account for number wrapping. Another way is to compare the **thisUpdate** fields in the base and dCRLs the relying party has; and
- The base CRL the relying party is using shall be the one the dCRL is issued for or a later one. One way to ensure this is to check that the CRL number in the **deltaCRLIndicator** extension of the dCRL is less than or equal to the CRL number in the **crNumber** extension of the base CRL the relying party is using and the **CRLStreamIdentifier** fields in the base and the dCRL match. This approach may require additional logic to account for number wrapping. Another way is to compare the **thisUpdate** fields of the base CRL the relying party has and the base CRL pointed to by the dCRL. Yet another way is to compare the **thisUpdate** field in the base CRL the relying party has and the **baseUpdateTime** extension in the dCRL the relying party has; and

NOTE – A relying party can always construct a base CRL by applying a dCRL to a base CRL as long as the above two rules are satisfied using the **crNumber** and **CRLStreamIdentifier** checks. In that case, the new base CRL's **crNumber** extension and **thisUpdate** field are those of the dCRL. The relying party does not know the **nextUpdate** field of the new base CRL and does not need to know for the purpose of associating it with another dCRL.

- If the dCRL contains an Issuing Distribution Point extension, then the scope of the issuing distribution point shall be consistent with the certificate as described in B.5.1.4 above; and
- If the dCRL does not contain any of the following extensions: **streamIdentifier** and **issuingDistributionPoint**, it shall be used only in conjunction with a full and complete base CRL.

B.5.3 Validity and currency checks on the base CRL

In order to verify that a base CRL is accurate and has not been modified since its issuance, all of the following conditions shall be satisfied:

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

- The relying party shall be able to obtain the public key of the issuer identified in the CRL using authenticated means (See Section B.6 for additional requirements to obtain the authenticated public key); and
- The signature on the base CRL shall be verified using this authenticated public key; and
- If the **nextUpdate** field is present, the current time should be prior to the **nextUpdate** field; and
- The issuer name in the CRL shall match the issuer name in the certificate that is being checked for revocation, unless the CRL is retrieved from the CRL DP in the certificate and the CRL DP extension contains the CRL issuer component. In that case, one of the names in CRL issuer component in the CRL DP extension shall match issuer name in the CRL.

B.5.4 Validity and checks on the delta CRL

In order to verify that a dCRL is accurate and has not been modified since its issuance, all of the following conditions shall be satisfied:

- The relying party shall be able to obtain the public key of the issuer identified in the CRL using authenticated means (See Section B.6 for additional requirements to obtain the authenticated public key), and
- The signature on the dCRL shall be verified using this authenticated public key; and
- If the **nextUpdate** field is present, the current time should be prior to the **nextUpdate** field; and
- The issuer name in the dCRL shall match the issuer name in the certificate which is being checked for revocation, unless the Delta CRL is retrieved from the CRL DP in the certificate and the CRL DP extension contains the CRL issuer component. In that case, one of the names in CRL issuer component in the CRL DP extension shall match issuer name in the CRL.

Annex G

Delete the existing text of clause G.2.

Renumber existing clause G.3 to be the new G.2

Add the following as a new G.3

G.3 Use of Name Constraints Extension

G.3.1 Examples of Certificate Format with Name Constraints Extension

The CAs can put various restrictions to the subject names (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) of the certificates that they issue and the subsequent certificates in the certification path, by inclusion of the Name Constraints extension to their CA-certificates. This section describes the examples of certificate format with Name Constraints extension.

Making the examples simple, required name forms (**requiredNameForms**) of Name Constraints extension in these examples indicates rfc822 name (**rfc822Name**) and DN (**directoryName**) only.

G.3.1.1 Examples of *permittedSubtrees*

- (1-1) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be equal to or subordinate to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}).

| |
|----------------------------------|
| nameConstraints extension |
|----------------------------------|

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}} | (void) | (void) |

(1-2) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be equal to or immediately subordinate to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}, maximum 1 }} | (void) | (void) |

(1-3) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be subordinate to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}, minimum 1 }} | (void) | (void) |

(1-4) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be equal to or subordinate to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}), or equal to or subordinate to the Acme Ltd. in U.K. (i.e. {C=UK, O=Acme Ltd}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(directoryName) {C=UK, O=Acme Ltd}}}} | (void) | (void) |

G.3.1.2 Examples of *excludedSubtrees*

(2-1) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall not be equal to nor subordinate to the Acme Corp. in Canada. (i.e. {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| (void) | {{ base(directoryName) {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}}} | (void) |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

(2-2) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall not be subordinate to each immediately subordinate of the Acme Corp. in Canada (i.e. {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| (void) | {{base(directoryName) {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}, minimum 2}} | (void) |

(2-3) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall not be equal to the Acme Corp. in Canada (i.e. {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---------------------------|--|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| (void) | {{base(directoryName) {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}, maximum 0}} | (void) |

(2-4) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall not be equal to nor subordinate to the Acme Corp. in Canada (i.e. {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}), nor equal to nor subordinate to the Asia Acme in Japan (i.e. {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| (void) | {{base(directoryName) {C=CA, O=Acme Corp}}, {base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | (void) |

G.3.1.3 Examples of permittedSubtrees and excludedSubtrees

(3-1) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be equal to or subordinate to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}) except the R&D organization unit of Acme Inc. and the R&D organization’s subordinates.

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---|--|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=R&D}}} | (void) |

(3-2) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

subjectAltName extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall be equal to one of immediately subordinates to the Acme Inc. in U.S. (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc}) except the Purchasing organization unit (i.e. {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing}).

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}, minimum 1, maximum 1}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing}}} | (void) |

G.3.1.4 Examples of permittedSubtrees and excludedSubtrees with requiredNameForms

- (4-1) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, at least one of subject names (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) of certificate shall be in the DN name form. However, each subject name is not constrained by any name spaces.

| nameConstraints extension | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|----|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms | |
| | | rfc822 name | DN |
| (void) | (void) | OFF | ON |

- (4-2) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, at least one of subject names (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) shall be in the DN name form. Moreover, each subject name in DN name form shall satisfy the name spaces constrained by the **permittedSubtrees** and **excludedSubtrees**.

| nameConstraints extension | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|----|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms | |
| | | rfc822 name | DN |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme, OU=Marketing}}} | OFF | ON |

- (4-3) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall satisfy the name spaces constrained by the **permittedSubtrees** and **excludedSubtrees**.

| nameConstraints extension | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-----|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms | |
| | | rfc822 name | DN |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme, OU=Marketing}}} | OFF | OFF |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

Note: The above example of CA-certificate is compatible with the following CA-certificate with Name Constraints extension without **requiredNameForms** element.

| nameConstraints extension | | |
|--|---|-------------------|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme, OU=Marketing}}} | (void) |

(4-4) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form, if exists, shall satisfy the name spaces constrained by the **permittedSubtrees** and **excludedSubtrees**. Moreover, at least one **subjectAltName** in **rfc822Name** name form shall be present, though its name is not constrained by any name spaces.

| nameConstraints extension | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|-----|
| permittedSubtrees | excludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms | |
| | | rfc822 name | DN |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme, OU=Marketing}}} | ON | OFF |

(4-5) If the CA-certificate contains the following Name Constraints extension, for all subsequent certificates in the certification path, at least one of subject names (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) of certificate shall be in the DN name form or in the rfc822 name form.
 Each subject name in DN name form, if exists, shall satisfy the name spaces constrained by the **permittedSubtrees** and **excludedSubtrees**.
 Each subject name in **rfc822Name** name form is not constrained by any name spaces.

| nameConstraints extension | | | |
|--|---|-------------------|----|
| permittedSubtrees | ExcludedSubtrees | requiredNameForms | |
| | | rfc822 name | DN |
| {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme}}} | {{base(directoryName) {C=JP, O=Asia Acme, OU=Marketing}}} | ON | ON |

G.3.2 Examples of Certificate Handling with Name Constraint Extension

This section describes the examples of how subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) are validated in the certificate processing with the path processing state variables, namely *permitted-subtrees*, *excluded-subtrees* and *required-name-forms*.

Making the examples simple, the path processing state variable *required-name-forms* in these examples indicates rfc822 name (**rfc822Name**), DN (**directoryName**) and URI (**uniformResourceIdentifier**) only.

G.3.2.1 Name Spaces Constraints by *permitted-subtrees* in DN Name Form

In this case, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form appeared in the certificate in question shall satisfy the constraint by path processing state variable *permitted-subtrees*.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

(1-1) One permitted subtree for DN is present and DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}} | NONE | OFF | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName (directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Accounting} |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName (rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName (directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Accounting} |

(1-2) Two permitted subtrees for DN are present and DN is required in *required-name-forms*

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

| | | | | |
|--|------|-----|----|-----|
| <pre> {{base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, {base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Ltd}}} </pre> | NONE | OFF | ON | OFF |
|--|------|-----|----|-----|

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU= Accounting} |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme International</i> , OU=Accounting} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme International</i> , OU=Accounting} |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme International</i> , OU=Accounting} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme International</i> , OU=Accounting} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Corp</i> , OU=Accounting} |
| 6 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme International</i> , OU=Accounting} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |

(1-3) One permitted subtree for DN is present and *required-name-forms* is empty.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| | | | | |

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | | |
|---|------|-------|
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}} | NONE | Empty |
|---|------|-------|

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Accounting} |
| 6 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Ltd</u> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Ltd</u> , OU=Accounting} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Ltd</u> , OU=Accounting} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Ltd</u> , OU=Accounting} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Ltd</u> , OU=Purchasing} |

G.3.2.2 Name Spaces Constraints by *excluded-subtrees* in DN Name Form

In this case, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form appeared in the certificate in question shall satisfy the constraint by path processing state variable *excluded-subtrees*.

- (2-1) One excluded subtree for DN is present and DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Ltd}}} | OFF | ON | OFF |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Accounting} |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Accounting} |

(2-2) Two excluded subtrees for DN are present and DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Ltd}}} | OFF | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = purchasing@acme-international.com |
| 4 | subject = {} |

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| |
|---|
| subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme N.Y, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = purchasing@acme-international.com |
|---|

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> ,OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> ,OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = purchasing@acme-international.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Accounting} |
| 5 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme International, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = purchasing@acme-international.com |

(2-3) One excluded subtree for DN is present and *required-name-forms* is empty.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}} | empty | | |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Accounting} |
| 6 | subject = {} |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|--|---|
| | subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
|--|---|

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Accounting} |

G.3.2.3 Name Spaces Constraints only by required-name-forms

(3-1) DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | NONE | OFF | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=JP, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {C=JP, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=JP, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Accounting} |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-ltd.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |

(3-2) DN or **rfc822Name** are required in *required-name-forms*.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | NONE | ON | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=JP, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 3 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 5 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = purchasing@acme-ltd.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-ltd.com Note: <i>DN and rfc822 missing</i> |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(dNSName) = www.acme-ltd.com Note: <i>DN and rfc822 missing</i> |

G.3.2.4 Name Spaces Constraints by *permitted-subtrees* in Multiple Name Forms

In this case, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form or rfc822 name form appeared in the certificate in question shall satisfy the constraint by path processing state variable *permitted-subtrees*.

- (4-1) One permitted subtree for DN and another permitted subtree **rfc822Name** are present. In addition, DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, | NONE | OFF | ON | OFF |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Accounting} |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com</i> |
| 6 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com Note: <i>DN missing</i> |

- (4-2) One permitted subtree for DN and another permitted subtree **rfc822Name** are present. In addition, at least one of DN or **rfc822Name** is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | NONE | ON | ON | OFF |

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = { C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Accounting} |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com</i> |
| 6 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com Note: <i>DN and rfc822 missing</i> |

(4-3) One permitted subtree for DN and another permitted subtree **rfc822Name** are present. No name forms are required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| { base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | NONE | empty | | |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Inc, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Ltd</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com</i> |

G.3.2.5 Name Spaces Constraints by *excluded-subtrees* in Multiple Name Forms

In this case, each subject name (in the **subject** field or **subjectAltName** extension) in DN name form or rfc822 name form appeared in the certificate in question shall satisfy the constraint by path processing state variable *excluded-subtrees*.

- (5-1) One excluded subtree for DN and another excluded subtree **rfc822Name** are present. In addition, DN is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | { base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | OFF | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Accounting} |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-ltd.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Inc</u> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.com Note: <u>DN missing</u> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <u>manager@purchasing.acme.com</u> |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Inc</u> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Inc</u> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <u>manager@purchasing.acme.com</u> |
| 6 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <u>Acme Inc</u> , OU=Accounting} |
| 7 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com Note: <u>DN missing</u> |

- (5-2) One excluded subtree for DN and another excluded subtree **rfc822Name** are present. In addition, at least one of DN or **rfc822Name** is required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | ON | ON | OFF |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} |

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|---|---|
| | subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme.org |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Accounting} |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-ltd.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 6 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Accounting} |
| 7 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com Note: <i>DN and rfc822 missing</i> |

(5-3) One excluded subtree for DN and another excluded subtree **rfc822Name** are present. No name forms are required in *required-name-forms*.

| Path Processing State Variables | | | | |
|---------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----|-----|
| <i>permitted-subtrees</i> | <i>excluded-subtrees</i> | <i>required-name-forms</i> | | |
| | | rfc822 | DN | URI |
| NONE | {{ base(directoryName) {C=US, O=Acme Inc}}, { base(rfc822Name) .acme.com}} | Empty | | |

Acceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|--|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} |

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|---|---|
| | subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-ltd.com |
| 4 | subject = {} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-inc.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(uniformResourceIdentifier) = http://purchasing.www.acme-ltd.com |

Unacceptable Certificate Examples

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} |
| 2 | subject = {} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 3 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 4 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = manager@purchasing.acme-inc.com |
| 5 | subject = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(rfc822Name) = <i>manager@purchasing.acme.com</i> |
| 6 | subject = {C=US, O=Acme Ltd, OU=Purchasing} subjectAltName(directoryName) = {C=US, O= <i>Acme Inc</i> , OU=Accounting} |

Add the following new informative Annex J that provides guidance on configuring variables that are inputs to the path validation process.

Annex J

Annex J -- Guidance on Determining for Which Policies a Certification Path is Valid

(This annex does not form an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard)

The purpose of this annex is to provide guidance for PKI enabled applications with respect to control of the certificate policy related processing of certificate path validation. Control of certificate policy related processing by the PKI through the contents of certificates is described in the Certificate Path Processing Procedure section of the standard.

This annex addresses initialization of two of the policy related inputs in the path processing procedure: *initial-policy-set* and *initial-explicit-policy*. In addition to these, the *initial-policy-mapping-inhibit* and *initial-inhibit-any-policy* inputs to the procedure, which can also be initialized by the user, impact the processing of policy related information during path processing, however these are outside the scope of this Annex. Setting the *initial-policy-mapping-inhibit* to **TRUE** prevents policy mappings from being used in successful path validations. Setting the *initial-inhibit-any-policy* to **TRUE** prevents the special OID for **anyPolicy**, if present in a certificate, from being an acceptable match for a specific policy OID.

The terms “user” in this annex can be used to mean a “human user” or a PKI enabled “application”.

The following scenarios are envisioned:

1. The user requires that the certification path be valid for one of the policies of interest to the user.
2. The user requires that the certification path be valid for at least a policy, but the user does not care which policy it is. This scenario should (can) be used when the user intends to do additional policy processing using other contextual information and information content, to determine if one of the policies the certification path is valid for is acceptable to the user for the particular transaction.
3. The user has no policy related requirements on the certification path. In other words, the user is willing to accept a certification path that is not valid for any policy, but is otherwise valid.
4. The user desires that the certification path be valid for one of the policies of interest to the user, but failing that, wants the opportunity to reconsider paths that are not valid for the policies of interest to the user. This scenario should (can) be used when the user generally requires the certification path to be valid for a policy acceptable to the user, but based on other contextual information and information content, the user may wish to override policy failure.

The following sections describe how the user should go about obtaining the desired information from a compliant path validation engine.

J.1 Certification Path Valid for a User Specified Policy Required

In this scenario, the user requires that the certification path be valid for one of the policies of interest to the user. In order to obtain the desired information, the user should set the policy processing related certification path validation inputs as follows:

initial-policy-set = {set of policies of interest to the user}

initial-explicit-policy = **TRUE**

If the path validation is successful, the certification path is valid for at least one of the policies of interest to the user. The certification path is valid for the policies listed in the *user-constrained-policy-set* output variable.

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

Under this scenario, applications should not use a certification path if it is rejected by a path validation engine for certificate policy related failures¹.

J.2 Certification Path Valid for any Policy Required

In this scenario, the user requires that the certification path be valid for at least a policy, but the user does not care which policy it is. In order to obtain the desired information, the user should set the policy processing related certification path validation inputs as follows:

initial-policy-set = {**anyPolicy**}

initial-explicit-policy = **TRUE**

If the path validation is successful, the certification path is valid for at least a policy. The certification path is valid for the policies listed in the *user-constrained-policy-set* output variable.

Under this scenario, applications should not use a certification path if it is rejected by a path validation engine for certificate policy related failures.

J.3 Certification Path Valid Regardless of Policy

In this scenario, the user has no policy related requirements on the certification path. In order to obtain the desired information, the user should set the policy processing related certification path validation inputs as follows:

initial-policy-set = {**anyPolicy**}

initial-explicit-policy = **FALSE**

If the path validation is successful, the certification path is valid for the policies listed in the *user-constrained-policy-set* output variable.

Under this scenario, applications should not use a certification path if it is rejected by a path validation engine for certificate policy related failures.

It should be noted that in this scenario, the certification path can have policy related failure. An example is if the infrastructure (i.e. a CA certificate in the certification path) causes the setting of *explicit-policy-indicator*. In this case, if the path is not valid for any policy, i.e. *authorities-constrained-policy-set* is empty, a compliant path validation engine will return a failure. Applications should reject the certification path for failure of this type.

J.4 Certification Path Valid for a User Specific Policy Desired, but Not Required

In this scenario, the user desires that the certification path be valid for one of the policies of interest to the user, but does not want to reject paths that are not valid for any of the policies of interest to the user. In order to obtain the desired information, the user should set the policy processing related certification path validation inputs as follows:

initial-policy-set = {set of policies of interest to the user}

initial-explicit-policy = **FALSE**

If the path validation is successful, the certification path is valid for the policies listed in the *user-constrained-policy-set* output variable.. The *user-constrained-policy-set* is a subset of the *initial-policy-set*. Please note that *user-constrained-policy-set* could be **NULL** in this case when *explicit-*

¹ A path validation failure is a certificate policy related failure when failure is caused by the certificate policy related extension(s) or certificate policy related state variable(s). The certificate policy related extensions are: **certificatePolicies**, **policyMappings**, **policyConstraints**, and **inhibitAnyPolicy**. Certificate policy related state variables are: *authorities-constrained-policy-set*, *explicit-policy-indicator*, *policy-mapping-inhibit-indicator*, and *inhibit-any-policy-indicator*.

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

policy-indicator is not set. The application should examine the returned *user-constrained-policy-set* to determine if the path is acceptable to the user.

Applications should reject the certification path for policy related failure caused by the infrastructure in this scenario, (i.e. when the *authorities-constrained-policy-set* is empty and the *explicit-policy-indicator* is set).

It should be noted that in this scenario, the certification path can have policy related failure. An example is if the infrastructure (i.e. a CA certificate in the certification path) causes the setting of *explicit-policy-indicator*. In this case, if the path is not valid for any policy, i.e. *authorities-constrained-policy-set* is empty, a compliant path validation engine will return a failure. Applications should reject the certification path for failure of this type.

Another example is the combination of the user input and infrastructure causes policy related failure. This occurs when a CA certificate in the certification path causes the setting of *explicit-policy-indicator*, *authorities-constrained-policy-set* is not empty, and *user-constrained-policy-set* is empty. Compliant path validation engine will return a failure. Under these conditions, if the only reason for the path validation engine returning a failure is that the *user-constrained-policy-set* is empty, applications may choose to override that failure and accept the certification path. Authority imposed constraints are still respected, by virtue of the *authorities-constrained-policy-set* not being empty. Acceptance of this path by an application is equivalent to the application re-submitting the path to the validation engine with *initial-policy-set* equal to **anyPolicy** initial-explicit-policy equal to **FALSE**, and examining the returned *user-constrained-policy-set* to determine if the path is acceptable.

Add the following new informative Annex K that provides guidance on key usage certificate extension issues.

Annex K

(This annex does not form an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard)

Key Usage Certificate Extension Issues

Editor's note: This text was agreed as part of the discussion on DTC 6 as the AFNOR text that was reached during a ballot process. Text for additional issues and risk is solicited from national bodies.

Combining the contentCommitment bit in the keyUsage certificate extension with other keyUsage bits may have security implications depending on the security environment in which the certificate is to be used. If the subject's environment can be fully controlled and trusted, then there are no specific security implications. For example, in cases where the subject is fully confident about exactly which data is signed or cases where the subject is fully confident about the security characteristics of the authentication protocol being used. If the subject's environment is not fully controlled or not fully trusted, then unintentional signing of commitments is possible. Examples include the use of badly formed authentication exchanges and the use of a rogue software component. If untrusted environments are used by a subject, these security implications can be limited through use of the following measures:

- to not combine contentCommitment key usage setting in certificates with any other key usage setting and to use the corresponding private key only with this certificate.

- to limit the use of private keys associated with certificates that have the contentCommitment key usage bit set, to environments which are considered adequately controlled and trustworthy

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

Replace Annex A with the following. Note that this replacement annex also contains the ASN.1 statements of several approved Technical Corrigenda. Formatting will be corrected in the next version of this document.

-- A.1 Public-Key and Attribute Certificates Framework module

```
AuthenticationFramework {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1) authenticationFramework(7) 5}
DEFINITIONS ::=
BEGIN

-- EXPORTS All --

-- The types and values defined in this module are exported for use in the other ASN.1 modules contained
-- within the Directory Specifications, and for the use of other applications which will use them to access
-- Directory services. Other applications may use them for their own purposes, but this will not constrain
-- extensions and modifications needed to maintain or improve the Directory service.

IMPORTS
  id-at, id-nf, id-oc, informationFramework, upperBounds, selectedAttributeTypes,
  basicAccessControl,
  certificateExtensions
    FROM UsefulDefinitions {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1) usefulDefinitions(0) 5}

  Name, ATTRIBUTE, OBJECT-CLASS, NAME-FORM, top
    FROM InformationFramework informationFramework

  ub-user-password, ub-content
    FROM UpperBounds upperBounds

  UniquelyIdentifier, octetStringMatch, DirectoryString, commonName
    FROM SelectedAttributeTypes selectedAttributeTypes

  certificateExactMatch, certificatePairExactMatch, certificateListExactMatch, KeyUsage,
  GeneralNames,
    CertificatePoliciesSyntax, algorithmIdentifierMatch, CertPolicyId
    FROM CertificateExtensions certificateExtensions ;

-- public-key certificate definition --

Certificate
  version          [0] ::= SIGNED { SEQUENCE {
    serialNumber   CertificateSerialNumber,
    signature      AlgorithmIdentifier,
    issuer         Name,
    validity       Validity,
    subject        Name,
    subjectPublicKeyInfo SubjectPublicKeyInfo,
    issuerUniquelyIdentifier [1] IMPLICIT UniquelyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
    subjectUniquelyIdentifier [2] IMPLICIT UniquelyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
    extensions     [3] Extensions OPTIONAL
    -- if present, version shall be v2 or v3
    -- If present, version shall be v3 -- } }

Version ::= INTEGER { v1(0), v2(1), v3(2) }

CertificateSerialNumber ::= INTEGER

AlgorithmIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
  algorithm ALGORITHM.&id ({SupportedAlgorithms}),
  parameters ALGORITHM.&Type ({SupportedAlgorithms}{ @algorithm})
  OPTIONAL }

-- Definition of the following information object set is deferred, perhaps to standardized
-- profiles or to protocol implementation conformance statements. The set is required to
-- specify a table constraint on the parameters component of AlgorithmIdentifier.
```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```

SupportedAlgorithms      ALGORITHM      ::=  { ... }

Validity                ::=  SEQUENCE {
  notBefore      Time,
  notAfter       Time }

SubjectPublicKeyInfo    ::=  SEQUENCE {
  algorithm      AlgorithmIdentifier,
  subjectPublicKey BIT STRING }

Time ::= CHOICE {
  utcTime        UTCTime,
  generalizedTime GeneralizedTime }

Extensions ::= SEQUENCE OF Extension
-- For those extensions where ordering of individual extensions within the SEQUENCE is
-- significant, the
-- specification of those individual extensions shall include the rules for the significance of the order
-- therein

Extension ::= SEQUENCE {
  extnId      EXTENSION.&id ({ExtensionSet}),
  critical    BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE,
  extnValue   OCTET STRING
              -- contains a DER encoding of a value of type &ExtnType
              -- for the extension object identified by extnId -- }

ExtensionSet EXTENSION      ::=  { ... }

EXTENSION ::= CLASS {
  &id  OBJECT IDENTIFIER UNIQUE,
  &ExtnType }
WITH SYNTAX {
  SYNTAX          &ExtnType
  IDENTIFIED BY  &id }

-- other PKI certificate constructs

Certificates      ::=  SEQUENCE {
  userCertificate      Certificate,
  certificationPath   ForwardCertificationPath OPTIONAL}

ForwardCertificationPath
CrossCertificates   ::=  SEQUENCE OF

CrossCertificates  ::=  SET OF Certificate

CertificationPath  ::=  SEQUENCE {
  userCertificate      Certificate,
  theCACertificates   SEQUENCE OF CertificatePair OPTIONAL}

CertificatePair    ::=  SEQUENCE {
  forward      [0] Certificate OPTIONAL,
  reverse      [1] Certificate OPTIONAL
              -- at least one of the pair shall be present -- }

(WITH COMPONENTS {..., forward PRESENT} |
 WITH COMPONENTS {..., reverse PRESENT})

-- certificate revocation list (CRL)

CertificateList    ::=  SIGNED { SEQUENCE {
  version          Version OPTIONAL,
                  -- if present, version shall be v2
  signature        AlgorithmIdentifier,
  issuer           Name,
  thisUpdate       Time,
  nextUpdate       Time OPTIONAL,

```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

    revokedCertificates          SEQUENCE OF SEQUENCE {
        serialNumber           CertificateSerialNumber,
        revocationDate         Time,
        crlEntryExtensions     Extensions OPTIONAL }
OPTIONAL,
    crlExtensions              [0] Extensions OPTIONAL }}

```

-- information object classes --

ALGORITHM ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER

-- parameterized types --

```

HASH {ToBeHashed} ::= SEQUENCE {
    algorithmIdentifier      AlgorithmIdentifier,
    hashValue                BIT STRING ( CONSTRAINED BY {
        -- shall be the result of applying a hashing procedure to the DER-encoded octets --
        -- of a value of --ToBeHashed } ) }

```

```

ENCRYPTED-HASH { ToBeSigned } ::= BIT STRING ( CONSTRAINED
BY {
    -- shall be the result of applying a hashing procedure to the DER-encoded (see 6.1) octets --
    -- of a value of -- ToBeSigned -- and then applying an encipherment procedure to those octets --
})

```

```

ENCRYPTED { ToBeEnciphered } ::= BIT STRING ( CONSTRAINED
BY {
    -- shall be the result of applying an encipherment procedure --
    -- to the BER-encoded octets of a value of -- ToBeEnciphered})

```

```

SIGNATURE { ToBeSigned } ::= SEQUENCE {
    algorithmIdentifier      AlgorithmIdentifier,
    encrypted                ENCRYPTED-HASH { ToBeSigned }
}

```

```

SIGNED { ToBeSigned } ::= SEQUENCE {
    toBeSigned              ToBeSigned,
    COMPONENTS OF          SIGNATURE { ToBeSigned }}

```

-- PKI object classes --

```

pkiUser OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF          {top}
    KIND                  auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN          {userCertificate}
    ID                    id-oc-pkiUser }

```

```

pkiCA OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF          {top}
    KIND                  auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN          {cACertificate |
        certificateRevocationList |
        authorityRevocationList |
        crossCertificatePair }
    ID                    id-oc-pkiCA }

```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```

cRLDistributionPoint      OBJECT-CLASS      ::= {
  SUBCLASS OF             { top }
  KIND                    structural
  MUST CONTAIN            { commonName }
  MAY CONTAIN             { certificateRevocationList |
                           authorityRevocationList |
                           deltaRevocationList }
  ID                      id-oc-cRLDistributionPoint }

cRLDistPtNameForm NAME-FORM ::= {
  NAMES                   cRLDistributionPoint
  WITH ATTRIBUTES        { commonName }
  ID                     id-nf-cRLDistPtNameForm }

deltaCRL      OBJECT-CLASS      ::= {
  SUBCLASS OF             {top}
  KIND                    auxiliary
  MAY CONTAIN            {deltaRevocationList}
  ID                     id-oc-deltaCRL }

cpCps      OBJECT-CLASS      ::= {
  SUBCLASS OF             {top}
  KIND                    auxiliary
  MAY CONTAIN            {certificatePolicy |
                           certificationPracticeStmt}
  ID                     id-oc-cpCps }

pkiCertPath      OBJECT-CLASS      ::= {
  SUBCLASS OF             {top}
  KIND                    auxiliary
  MAY CONTAIN            { pkiPath }
  ID                     id-oc-pkiCertPath }

-- PKI directory attributes --

userCertificate      ATTRIBUTE      ::=      {
  WITH SYNTAX              Certificate
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE  certificateExactMatch
  ID                      id-at-userCertificate}

cACertificate      ATTRIBUTE      ::=      {
  WITH SYNTAX              Certificate
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE  certificateExactMatch
  ID                      id-at-cACertificate }

crossCertificatePair  ATTRIBUTE      ::=      {
  WITH SYNTAX              CertificatePair
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE  certificatePairExactMatch
  ID                      id-at-crossCertificatePair }

certificateRevocationList  ATTRIBUTE  ::=      {
  WITH SYNTAX              CertificateList
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE  certificateListExactMatch

```


FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

ID
certificateRevocationList }

authorityRevocationList ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE
  ID
}
CertificateList
certificateListExactMatch
id-at-authorityRevocationList

deltaRevocationList ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE
  ID
}
CertificateList
certificateListExactMatch
id-at-deltaRevocationList }

supportedAlgorithms ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE
  ID
}
SupportedAlgorithm
algorithmIdentifierMatch
id-at-supportedAlgorithms }

SupportedAlgorithm ::= SEQUENCE {
  algorithmIdentifier
  intendedUsage [0]
  OPTIONAL,
  intendedCertificatePolicies [1]
  CertificatePoliciesSyntax OPTIONAL }
AlgorithmIdentifier,
KeyUsage

certificationPracticeStmt ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX
  ID
}
InfoSyntax
id-at-certificationPracticeStmt }

InfoSyntax ::= CHOICE {
  content DirectoryString {ub-content},
  pointer SEQUENCE {
    name GeneralNames,
    hash HASH { HashedPolicyInfo } OPTIONAL } }

POLICY ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER

HashedPolicyInfo ::= POLICY.&Type( {Policies} )

Policies POLICY ::= {...} -- Defined by implementors --

certificatePolicy ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX
  ID
}
PolicySyntax
id-at-certificatePolicy }

PolicySyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
  policyIdentifier
  policySyntax
}
PolicyID,
InfoSyntax

PolicyID ::= CertPolicyId

```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```

pkiPath ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX      PkiPath
  ID               id-at-pkiPath }

PkiPath ::= SEQUENCE OF Certificate

userPassword ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX      OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..ub-user-
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE      octetStringMatch
  ID               id-at-userPassword })

-- object identifier assignments --
-- object classes --
id-oc-cRLDistributionPoint OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 19}
id-oc-pkiUser              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 21}
id-oc-pkiCA                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 22}
id-oc-deltaCRL             OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 23}
id-oc-cpCps                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 30}
id-oc-pkiCertPath         OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 31}

--name forms--
id-nf-cRLDistPtNameForm   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-nf 14}

--directory attributes--
id-at-userPassword        OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 35}
id-at-userCertificate      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 36}
id-at-cACertificate        OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 37}
id-at-authorityRevocationList OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 38}
id-at-certificateRevocationList OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 39}
id-at-crossCertificatePair OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 40}
id-at-supportedAlgorithms OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 52}
id-at-deltaRevocationList OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 53}
id-at-certificatePracticeStmnt OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 68}
id-at-certificatePolicy    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 69}
id-at-pkiPath              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-at 70}

END

-- A.2 Certificate extensions module
CertificateExtensions {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1) certificateExtensions(26) 5}
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

-- EXPORTS ALL --

IMPORTS
  id-at, id-ce, id-mr, informationFramework, authenticationFramework,
  selectedAttributeTypes, upperBounds
  FROM UsefulDefinitions {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1)
  usefulDefinitions(0) 5}

Name, RelativeDistinguishedName, ATTRIBUTE, Attribute, MATCHING-RULE
FROM InformationFramework informationFramework

```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

CertificateSerialNumber, CertificateList, AlgorithmIdentifier,
EXTENSION, Time, PolicyID
FROM AuthenticationFramework authenticationFramework

DirectoryString
FROM SelectedAttributeTypes selectedAttributeTypes

ub-name
FROM UpperBounds upperBounds

ORAddress
FROM MTSAbstractService {joint-iso-itu-t mhs(6) mts(3)
modules(0) mts-abstract-service(1) version-1999 (1) } ;

-- Unless explicitly noted otherwise, there is no significance to the ordering
-- of components of a SEQUENCE OF construct in this Specification.

-- public-key certificate and CRL extensions --

authorityKeyIdentifier EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX AuthorityKeyIdentifier
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-authorityKeyIdentifier }

AuthorityKeyIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
keyIdentifier [0] KeyIdentifier OPTIONAL,
authorityCertIssuer [1] GeneralNames
OPTIONAL,
authorityCertSerialNumber[2] CertificateSerialNumber OPTIONAL }
(WITH COMPONENTS {..., authorityCertIssuer PRESENT,
authorityCertSerialNumber PRESENT} |
WITH COMPONENTS {..., authorityCertIssuer ABSENT,
authorityCertSerialNumber ABSENT})

KeyIdentifier ::= OCTET STRING

subjectKeyIdentifier EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX SubjectKeyIdentifier
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-subjectKeyIdentifier }

SubjectKeyIdentifier ::= KeyIdentifier

keyUsage EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX KeyUsage
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-keyUsage }

KeyUsage ::= BIT STRING {
digitalSignature (0),
nonRepudiation (1),
keyEncipherment (2),
dataEncipherment (3),
keyAgreement (4),
keyCertSign (5),
cRLSign (6),
encipherOnly (7),
decipherOnly (8) }

extKeyUsage EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF KeyPurposeId
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-extKeyUsage }

KeyPurposeId ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

```
privateKeyUsagePeriod EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          PrivateKeyUsagePeriod
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-privateKeyUsagePeriod }
```

```
PrivateKeyUsagePeriod ::= SEQUENCE {
  notBefore      [0]   GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
  notAfter       [1]   GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL }
( WITH COMPONENTS {..., notBefore PRESENT} |
  WITH COMPONENTS   {..., notAfter PRESENT} )
```

```
certificatePolicies EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          CertificatePoliciesSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-certificatePolicies }
```

```
CertificatePoliciesSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PolicyInformation
```

```
PolicyInformation ::= SEQUENCE {
  policyIdentifier      CertPolicyId,
  policyQualifiers     SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF
                      PolicyQualifierInfo OPTIONAL }
```

```
CertPolicyId ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER
```

```
PolicyQualifierInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
  policyQualifierId     CERT-POLICY-QUALIFIER.&id
                      ({SupportedPolicyQualifiers}),
  qualifier             CERT-POLICY-QUALIFIER.&Qualifier
                      ({SupportedPolicyQualifiers}{@policyQualifierId})
                      OPTIONAL }
```

```
SupportedPolicyQualifiers CERT-POLICY-QUALIFIER ::= { ... }
```

```
anyPolicy   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { 2 5 29 32 0 }
```

```
CERT-POLICY-QUALIFIER ::= CLASS {
  &id      OBJECT IDENTIFIER UNIQUE,
  &Qualifier OPTIONAL }
WITH SYNTAX {
  POLICY-QUALIFIER-ID &id
  [QUALIFIER-TYPE &Qualifier] }
```

```
policyMappings EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          PolicyMappingsSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-policyMappings }
```

```
PolicyMappingsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF SEQUENCE {
  issuerDomainPolicy      CertPolicyId,
  subjectDomainPolicy     CertPolicyId }
```

```
subjectAltName EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          GeneralNames
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-subjectAltName }
```

```
GeneralNames ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF GeneralName
```

```
GeneralName ::= CHOICE {
  otherName          [0]   INSTANCE OF OTHER-NAME,
  rfc822Name         [1]   IA5String,
  dNSName            [2]   IA5String,
  x400Address        [3]   ORAddress,
  directoryName      [4]   Name,
  ediPartyName       [5]   EDIPartyName,
  uniformResourceIdentifier [6] IA5String,
```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

iPAddress [7] OCTET STRING,
registeredID [8] OBJECT IDENTIFIER }

OTHER-NAME ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER

EDIPartyName ::= SEQUENCE {
nameAssigner [0] DirectoryString {ub-name} OPTIONAL,
partyName [1] DirectoryString {ub-name} }

issuerAltName EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX GeneralNames
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-issuerAltName }

subjectDirectoryAttributes EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX AttributesSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-subjectDirectoryAttributes }

AttributesSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF Attribute

basicConstraints EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX BasicConstraintsSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-basicConstraints }

BasicConstraintsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
cA BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE,
pathLenConstraint INTEGER (0..MAX) OPTIONAL }

nameConstraints EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX NameConstraintsSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-nameConstraint }

NameConstraintsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
permittedSubtrees [0] GeneralSubtrees OPTIONAL,
excludedSubtrees [1] GeneralSubtrees OPTIONAL,
requiredNameForms [2] NameForms OPTIONAL }

GeneralSubtrees ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF GeneralSubtree

GeneralSubtree ::= SEQUENCE {
base GeneralName,
minimum [0] BaseDistance DEFAULT 0,
maximum [1] BaseDistance OPTIONAL }

BaseDistance ::= INTEGER (0..MAX)

NameForms ::= SEQUENCE {
basicNameForms [0] BasicNameForms OPTIONAL,
otherNameForms [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF OBJECT IDENTIFIER OPTIONAL }

(ALL EXCEPT ({ --none; i.e.:at least one component shall be present-- }))

BasicNameForms ::= BIT STRING {
rfc822Name (0),
dNSName (1),

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

x400Address (2),
directoryName (3),
ediPartyName (4),
uniformResourceIdentifier (5),
iPAddress (6),
registeredID (7) } (SIZE (1..MAX))

policyConstraints EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX PolicyConstraintsSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-policyConstraints }

PolicyConstraintsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
requireExplicitPolicy [0] SkipCerts OPTIONAL,
inhibitPolicyMapping [1] SkipCerts OPTIONAL }

SkipCerts ::= INTEGER (0..MAX)

cRLNumber EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX CRLNumber
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-cRLNumber }

CRLNumber ::= INTEGER (0..MAX)

reasonCode EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX CRLReason
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-reasonCode }

CRLReason ::= ENUMERATED {
unspecified (0),
keyCompromise (1),
cACompromise (2),
affiliationChanged (3),
superseded (4),
cessationOfOperation (5),
certificateHold (6),
removeFromCRL (8),
privilegeWithdrawn (9),
aaCompromise (10) }

holdInstructionCode EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX HoldInstruction
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-instructionCode }

HoldInstruction ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

invalidityDate EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX GeneralizedTime
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-invalidityDate }

cRLScope EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX CRLScopeSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-cRLScope }

CRLScopeSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PerAuthorityScope

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

PerAuthorityScope ::= SEQUENCE {
  authorityName           [0]          GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  distributionPoint       [1]          DistributionPointName OPTIONAL,
  onlyContains            [2]          OnlyCertificateTypes OPTIONAL,
  onlySomeReasons        [4]          ReasonFlags OPTIONAL,
  serialNumberRange      [5]          NumberRange OPTIONAL,
  subjectKeyIdRange      [6]          NumberRange OPTIONAL,
  nameSubtrees           [7]          GeneralNames OPTIONAL,
  baseRevocationInfo     [9]          BaseRevocationInfo OPTIONAL
}

```

-- (Note that this replacement text for the IDP extension may get changed again. There are serious migration and backward compatibility issues with the approved solution for DR 280 (reflected here) and new initiatives are planned to reverse some of these changes it is NOT recommended that anyone actually follow this change (at least not until the new initiatives are resolved).

```

OnlyCertificateTypes ::= BIT STRING {
  userPublicKey   (0),
  cA              (1),
  userAttribute   (2),
  aA              (3),
  sOAPublicKey    (4) }

```

```

NumberRange ::= SEQUENCE {
  startingNumber [0]          INTEGER OPTIONAL,
  endingNumber   [1]          INTEGER OPTIONAL,
  modulus        [1]          INTEGER OPTIONAL }

```

```

BaseRevocationInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
  cRLStreamIdentifier [0]      CRLStreamIdentifier OPTIONAL,
  cRLNumber           [1]      CRLNumber,
  baseThisUpdate      [2]      GeneralizedTime }

```

```

statusReferrals EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          StatusReferrals
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-statusReferrals }

```

StatusReferrals ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF StatusReferral

```

StatusReferral ::= CHOICE {
  cRLReferral [0]          CRLReferral,
  otherReferral [1]         INSTANCE OF OTHER-REFERRAL}

```

```

CRLReferral ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuer [0]          GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  location [1]         GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  deltaRefInfo [2]      DeltaRefInfo OPTIONAL,
  cRLScope [2]         CRLScopeSyntax,
  lastUpdate [3]       GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
  lastChangedCRL [4]    GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL}

```

```

DeltaRefInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
  deltaLocation GeneralName,
  lastDelta      GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL }

```

OTHER-REFERRAL ::= TYPE-IDENTIFIER

```

cRLStreamIdentifier EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          CRLStreamIdentifier
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-cRLStreamIdentifier }

```

CRLStreamIdentifier ::= INTEGER (0..MAX)

**orderedList EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX OrderedListSyntax
 IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-orderedList }**

**OrderedListSyntax ::= ENUMERATED {
 ascSerialNum (0),
 ascRevDate (1) }**

**deltaInfo EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX DeltaInformation
 IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-deltaInfo }**

**DeltaInformation ::= SEQUENCE {
 deltaLocation GeneralName,
 nextDelta GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL }**

**cRLDistributionPoints EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX CRLDistPointsSyntax
 IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-cRLDistributionPoints }**

CRLDistPointsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF DistributionPoint

**DistributionPoint ::= SEQUENCE {
 distributionPoint [0] DistributionPointName OPTIONAL,
 reasons [1] ReasonFlags OPTIONAL,
 cRLIssuer [2] GeneralNames OPTIONAL }**

**DistributionPointName ::= CHOICE {
 fullName [0] GeneralNames,
 nameRelativeToCRLIssuer [1] RelativeDistinguishedName }**

**ReasonFlags ::= BIT STRING {
 unused (0),
 keyCompromise (1),
 cACompromise (2),
 affiliationChanged (3),
 superseded (4),
 cessationOfOperation (5),
 certificateHold (6),
 privilegeWithdrawn (7),
 aACompromise (8) }**

-- (Note that this replacement text for the IDP extension may get changed again. There are serious migration and backward compatibility issues with the approved solution for DR 280 (reflected here) and new initiatives are planned to reverse some of these changes – it is NOT recommended that anyone actually follow this change (at least not until the new initiatives are resolved).

**issuingDistributionPoint EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX IssuingDistPointSyntax
 IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-issuingDistributionPoint }**

IssuingDistPointSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {

--If containsUserPublicKeyCerts, containsCACerts, containsUserAttributeCerts,
 containsAACerts, and

-- containsSOAPublicKeyCerts s are all absent, or not set to TRUE, (), the CRL covers
 all these certificate types--

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| distributionPoint | [0] DistributionPointName OPTIONAL, |
| containsUserPublicKeyCerts | [1] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE, |
| containsCACerts | [2] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE, |
| onlySomeReasons | [3] ReasonFlags OPTIONAL, |
| indirectCRL | [4] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE, |
| containsUserAttributeCerts | [5] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE, |
| containsAACerts | [6] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE, |
| containsSOAPublicKeyCerts | [7] BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE } |

certificateIssuer EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX GeneralNames
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-certificateIssuer }

deltaCRLIndicator EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX BaseCRLNumber
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-deltaCRLIndicator }

BaseCRLNumber ::= CRLNumber

baseUpdateTime EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX GeneralizedTime
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-baseUpdateTime }

freshestCRL EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX CRLDistPointsSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-freshestCRL }

inhibitAnyPolicy EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX SkipCerts
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-inhibitAnyPolicy }

-- toBeRevoked Extension added 9/14/2003

toBeRevoked EXTENSION ::= {
SYNTAX ToBeRevokedSyntax
IDENTIFIED BY id-ce-toBeRevoked }

ToBeRevokedSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE(1..MAX) OF ToBeRevokedGroup

ToBeRevokedGroup ::= SEQUENCE {
certificateIssuer [0] GeneralName OPTIONAL,
reasonInfo [1] ReasonInfo OPTIONAL,
revocationTime GeneralizedTime,
certificateGroup CertificateGroup }

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```
ReasonInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
    reasonCode          CRLReason,
    holdInstructionCode HoldInstruction OPTIONAL }

CertificateGroup ::= CHOICE {
    serialNumbers      [0] CertificateSerialNumbers,
    serialNumberRange [1] CertificateGroupNumberRange,
    nameSubtree       [2] GeneralName }

CertificateGroupNumberRange ::= SEQUENCE {
    startingNumber [0] INTEGER,
    endingNumber  [1] INTEGER }

CertificateSerialNumbers ::= SEQUENCE SIZE(1..MAX) OF CertificateSerialNumber

-- revoked groups extension added 9/14/2003

revokedGroups EXTENSION ::= {
    SYNTAX          RevokedGroupsSyntax
    IDENTIFIED BY  id-ce-RevokedGroups }

RevokedGroupsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF RevokedGroup

RevokedGroup ::= SEQUENCE {
    certificatelssuer [0] GeneralName OPTIONAL,
    reasonInfo       [1] ReasonInfo OPTIONAL,
    invalidityDate   [2] GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
    revokedcertificateGroup RevokedCertificateGroup }

RevokedCertificateGroup ::= CHOICE {
    serialNumberRange NumberRange,
    nameSubtree       GeneralName }

-- expired certificates extension added 9/14/2003

expiredCertsOnCRL EXTENSION ::= {
    SYNTAX          ExpiredCertsOnCRL
    IDENTIFIED BY  id-ce-expiredCertsOnCRL }

ExpiredCertsOnCRL ::= GeneralizedTime
```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

-- PKI matching rules --

```
certificateExactMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificateExactAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificateExactMatch }
```

```
CertificateExactAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  serialNumber      CertificateSerialNumber,
  issuer            Name }
```

```
certificateMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificateAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificateMatch }
```

```
CertificateAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  serialNumber      [0] CertificateSerialNumber      OPTIONAL,
  issuer            [1] Name                          OPTIONAL,
  subjectKeyIdentifier [2] SubjectKeyIdentifier      OPTIONAL,
  authorityKeyIdentifier [3] AuthorityKeyIdentifier  OPTIONAL,
  certificateValid   [4] Time
  OPTIONAL,
  privateKeyValid   [5] GeneralizedTime              OPTIONAL,
  subjectPublicKeyAlgID [6] OBJECT IDENTIFIER        OPTIONAL,
  keyUsage          [7] KeyUsage
  OPTIONAL,
  subjectAltName    [8] AltNameType                  OPTIONAL,
  policy            [9] CertPolicySet                OPTIONAL,
  pathToName       [10] Name
  OPTIONAL,
  subject          [11] Name                          OPTIONAL,
  nameConstraints  [12] NameConstraintsSyntax        OPTIONAL }
```

```
AltNameType ::= CHOICE {
  builtinNameForm ENUMERATED {
    rfc822Name          (1),
    dNSName             (2),
    x400Address         (3),
    directoryName       (4),
    ediPartyName        (5),
    uniformResourceIdentifier (6),
    iPAddress           (7),
    registeredId        (8) },
  otherNameForm OBJECT IDENTIFIER }
```

```
CertPolicySet ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertPolicyId
```

```
certificatePairExactMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificatePairExactAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificatePairExactMatch }
```

```
CertificatePairExactAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuedToThisCAAssertion [0] CertificateExactAssertion OPTIONAL,
  issuedByThisCAAssertion [1] CertificateExactAssertion OPTIONAL }
( WITH COMPONENTS { ..., issuedToThisCAAssertion PRESENT } |
  WITH COMPONENTS { ..., issuedByThisCAAssertion PRESENT } )
```

```
certificatePairMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificatePairAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificatePairMatch }
```

```

CertificatePairAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuedToThisCAAssertion [0] CertificateAssertion OPTIONAL,
  issuedByThisCAAssertion [1] CertificateAssertion OPTIONAL }
( WITH COMPONENTS {..., issuedToThisCAAssertion PRESENT} |
  WITH COMPONENTS {..., issuedByThisCAAssertion PRESENT} )

certificateListExactMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificateListExactAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificateListExactMatch }

CertificateListExactAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuer      Name,
  thisUpdate  Time,
  distributionPoint DistributionPointName OPTIONAL }

certificateListMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      CertificateListAssertion
  ID          id-mr-certificateListMatch }

CertificateListAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuer      Name OPTIONAL,
  minCRLNumber [0] CRLNumber OPTIONAL,
  maxCRLNumber [1] CRLNumber OPTIONAL,
  reasonFlags ReasonFlags OPTIONAL,
  dateAndTime Time OPTIONAL,
  distributionPoint [2] DistributionPointName OPTIONAL,
  authorityKeyIdentifier [3] AuthorityKeyIdentifier OPTIONAL}

algorithmIdentifierMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      AlgorithmIdentifier
  ID          id-mr-algorithmIdentifierMatch }

policyMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      PolicyID
  ID          id-mr-policyMatch }

pkiPathMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      PkiPathMatchSyntax
  ID          id-mr-pkiPathMatch }

PkiPathMatchSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
  firstIssuer Name,
  lastSubject Name }

-- enhanced certificate match added 9/14/2003

enhancedCertificateMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX      EnhancedCertificateAssertion
  ID          id-mr-enhancedCertificateMatch }

EnhancedCertificateAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
  serialNumber [0] CertificateSerialNumber OPTIONAL,
  issuer [1] Name OPTIONAL,
  subjectKeyIdentifier [2] SubjectKeyIdentifier OPTIONAL,

```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

authorityKeyIdentifier    [3]  AuthorityKeyIdentifier    OPTIONAL,
certificateValid          [4]  Time
OPTIONAL,
privateKeyValid          [5]  GeneralizedTime           OPTIONAL,
subjectPublicKeyAlgID    [6]  OBJECT IDENTIFIER         OPTIONAL,
keyUsage                 [7]  KeyUsage                  OPTIONAL,
subjectAltName           [8]  AltName
OPTIONAL,
policy                   [9]  CertPolicySet
OPTIONAL,
pathToName               [10] GeneralNames              OPTIONAL,
subject                  [11] Name                   OPTIONAL,
nameConstraints           [12] NameConstraintsSyntax  OPTIONAL
}

```

(ALL EXCEPT ({ --none; at least one component shall be present -- })))

```

AltName ::= SEQUENCE {
    altnameType      AltNameType,
    altNameValue     GeneralName OPTIONAL }

```

-- Object identifier assignments --

```

id-ce-subjectDirectoryAttributes    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 9}
id-ce-subjectKeyIdentifier          OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 14}
id-ce-keyUsage                     OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 15}
id-ce-privateKeyUsagePeriod         OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 16}
id-ce-subjectAltName                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 17}
id-ce-issuerAltName                 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 18}
id-ce-basicConstraints              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 19}
id-ce-cRLNumber                     OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 20}
id-ce-reasonCode                    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 21}
id-ce-instructionCode               OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 23}
id-ce-invalidityDate                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 24}
id-ce-deltaCRLIndicator              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 27}
id-ce-issuingDistributionPoint       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 28}
id-ce-certificateIssuer              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 29}
id-ce-nameConstraint                 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 30 }

id-ce-cRLDistributionPoints          OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 31}
id-ce-certificatePolicies            OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 32}
id-ce-policyMappings                 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 33}
id-ce-authorityKeyIdentifier         OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 35}
id-ce-policyConstraints              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 36}
id-ce-extKeyUsage                    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 37}
id-ce-cRLStreamIdentifier            OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 40}
id-ce-cRLScope                       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 44}
id-ce-statusReferrals                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 45}
id-ce-freshestCRL                   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 46}
id-ce-orderedList                   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 47}
id-ce-baseUpdateTime                 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 51}
id-ce-deltaInfo                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 53}
id-ce-inhibitAnyPolicy               OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 54}

id-ce-toBeRevoked                    OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 58}
id-ce-revokedGroups                  OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 59}
id-ce-expiredCertsOnCRL              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-ce 60}

```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```

-- matching rule OIDs --
id-mr-certificateExactMatch      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 34}
id-mr-certificateMatch           OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 35}
id-mr-certificatePairExactMatch  OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 36}
id-mr-certificatePairMatch       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 37}
id-mr-certificateListExactMatch  OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 38}
id-mr-certificateListMatch       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 39}
id-mr-algorithmIdentifierMatch   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 40}
id-mr-policyMatch                OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 60}
id-mr-pkiPathMatch              OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 62}

id-mr-enhancedCertificateMatch   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-mr 65}

-- The following OBJECT IDENTIFIERS are not used by this Specification:
-- {id-ce 2}, {id-ce 3}, {id-ce 4}, {id-ce 5}, {id-ce 6}, {id-ce 7},
-- {id-ce 8}, {id-ce 10}, {id-ce 11}, {id-ce 12}, {id-ce 13},
-- {id-ce 22}, {id-ce 25}, {id-ce 26}, {id-ce 30}

END

-- A.3 Attribute Certificate Framework module

AttributeCertificateDefinitions {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1)
attributeCertificateDefinitions(32) 5}
DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN

-- EXPORTS ALL --

IMPORTS
id-at, id-ce, id-mr, informationFramework, authenticationFramework,
selectedAttributeTypes, upperBounds, id-oc, certificateExtensions
FROM UsefulDefinitions {joint-iso-itu-t ds(5) module(1)
usefulDefinitions(0) 5}

Name, RelativeDistinguishedName, ATTRIBUTE, Attribute,
MATCHING-RULE, AttributeType, OBJECT-CLASS, top
FROM InformationFramework informationFramework

CertificateSerialNumber, CertificateList, AlgorithmIdentifier,
EXTENSION, SIGNED, InfoSyntax, PolicySyntax, Extensions, Certificate
FROM AuthenticationFramework authenticationFramework

DirectoryString, TimeSpecification, UniqueIdentifier
FROM SelectedAttributeTypes selectedAttributeTypes

GeneralName, GeneralNames, NameConstraintsSyntax, certificateListExactMatch
FROM CertificateExtensions certificateExtensions

ub-name
FROM UpperBounds upperBounds

UserNotice
FROM PKIX1Implicit93 {iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6) internet(1) security(5)
mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-pkix1-implicit-93(4)}

```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

ORAddress
  FROM MTSAbstractService {joint-iso-itu-t mhs(6) mts(3)
    modules(0) mts-abstract-service(1) version-1999 (1) } ;

-- Unless explicitly noted otherwise, there is no significance to the ordering
-- of components of a SEQUENCE OF construct in this Specification.

-- attribute certificate constructs --

AttributeCertificate ::= SIGNED {AttributeCertificateInfo}

AttributeCertificateInfo ::= SEQUENCE
{
  version                AttCertVersion, -- version is v2
  holder                 Holder,
  issuer                 AttCertIssuer,
  signature              AlgorithmIdentifier,
  serialNumber           CertificateSerialNumber,
  attrCertValidityPeriod AttCertValidityPeriod,
  attributes             SEQUENCE OF Attribute,
  issuerUniqeID          UniquelIdentifier OPTIONAL,
  extensions            Extensions OPTIONAL
}

AttCertVersion ::= INTEGER { v2(1) }

```

```

Holder ::= SEQUENCE
{
  baseCertificateID [0] IssuerSerial OPTIONAL,
  -- the issuer and serial number of the holder's Public Key Certificate
  entityName [1] GeneralNames OPTIONAL,
  -- the name of the entity or role
  objectDigestInfo [2] ObjectDigestInfo OPTIONAL
  -- used to directly authenticate the holder, e.g. an executable
  -- at least one of baseCertificateID, entityName or objectDigestInfo shall be present --}

```

```

ObjectDigestInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
  digestedObjectType ENUMERATED {
    publicKey (0),
    publicKeyCert (1),
    otherObjectTypes (2) },
  otherObjectTypeID OBJECT IDENTIFIER OPTIONAL,
  digestAlgorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
  objectDigest BIT STRING }

```

```

AttCertIssuer ::= [0] SEQUENCE {
  issuerName GeneralNames OPTIONAL,
  baseCertificateID[0] IssuerSerial OPTIONAL,
  objectDigestInfo [1] ObjectDigestInfo OPTIONAL }

```

```

-- At least one component shall be present
( WITH COMPONENTS { ..., issuerName PRESENT } |
  WITH COMPONENTS { ..., baseCertificateID PRESENT } |
  WITH COMPONENTS { ..., objectDigestInfo PRESENT } )

```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```
IssuerSerial ::= SEQUENCE {
  issuer      GeneralNames,
  serial      CertificateSerialNumber,
  issuerUID   UniqueIdentifier OPTIONAL }

AttCertValidityPeriod ::= SEQUENCE {
  notBeforeTime      GeneralizedTime,
  notAfterTime       GeneralizedTime }

AttributeCertificationPath ::= SEQUENCE {
  attributeCertificate      AttributeCertificate,
  acPath                   SEQUENCE OF ACPATHData OPTIONAL }

ACPATHData ::= SEQUENCE {
  certificate      [0] Certificate OPTIONAL,
  attributeCertificate [1] AttributeCertificate OPTIONAL }
```

```
PrivilegePolicy ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER
```

```
-- privilege attributes --
```

```
role ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX          RoleSyntax
  ID                   id-at-role }
```

```
RoleSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
  roleAuthority      [0]      GeneralNames OPTIONAL,
  roleName           [1]      GeneralName }
```

```
-- xml Privilege Information attribute added 9/14/2003
```

```
xmlPrivilegeInfo ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX UTF8String --contains XML-encoded privilege information
  ID                   id-at-xMLPrivilegeInfo }
```

```
-- PMI object classes --
```

```
pmiUser OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
  SUBCLASS OF          {top}
  KIND                 auxiliary
  MAY CONTAIN          {attributeCertificateAttribute}
  ID                   id-oc-pmiUser
  }
```

```
pmiAA OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
  -- a PMI AA
  SUBCLASS OF          {top}
  KIND                 auxiliary
  MAY CONTAIN          {aACertificate |
  attributeCertificateRevocationList |
```


FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```

        attributeAuthorityRevocationList}
    ID
    }

pmiSOA OBJECT-CLASS ::= { -- a PMI Source of Authority
    SUBCLASS OF      {top}
    KIND              auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN      {attributeCertificateRevocationList |
                    attributeAuthorityRevocationList |
                    attributeDescriptorCertificate}
    ID
    }

attCertCRLDistributionPt OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF      {top}
    KIND              auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN      { attributeCertificateRevocationList |
                    attributeAuthorityRevocationList }
    ID
    }

pmiDelegationPath OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF      {top}
    KIND              auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN      { delegationPath }
    ID
    }

privilegePolicy OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF      {top}
    KIND              auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN      {privPolicy }
    ID
    }

-- Protected Privilege Object Class added 9/14/2003

protectedPrivilegePolicy OBJECT-CLASS ::= {
    SUBCLASS OF      {top}
    KIND              auxiliary
    MAY CONTAIN      {ProtPrivPolicy }
    ID
    }

-- PMI directory attributes --

attributeCertificateAttribute ATTRIBUTE ::= {
    WITH SYNTAX      AttributeCertificate
    EQUALITY MATCHING RULE attributeCertificateExactMatch
    ID
    }

aACertificate ATTRIBUTE ::= {
    WITH SYNTAX      AttributeCertificate
    EQUALITY MATCHING RULE attributeCertificateExactMatch
    ID
    }

```

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```

attributeDescriptorCertificate      ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      AttributeCertificate
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE          attributeCertificateExactMatch
  ID                               id-at-attributeDescriptorCertificate
}

```

```

attributeCertificateRevocationList ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      CertificateList
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE          certificateListExactMatch
  ID                               id-at-
attributeCertificateRevocationList}

```

```

attributeAuthorityRevocationList  ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      CertificateList
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE          certificateListExactMatch
  ID                               id-at-
attributeAuthorityRevocationList }

```

```

delegationPath                   ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      AttCertPath
  ID                               id-at-delegationPath }

AttCertPath ::= SEQUENCE OF AttributeCertificate

```

```

privPolicy                       ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      PolicySyntax
  ID                               id-at-privPolicy }

```

-- Protected Privilege Policy & XML Protected Privilege attributes added 9/14/2003

```

protPrivPolicy                   ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      AttributeCertificate
  EQUALITY MATCHING RULE          attributeCertificateExactMatch
  ID                               id-at-protPrivPolicy }

```

```

xmlPrivPolicy ATTRIBUTE ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      UTF8String --contains XML-encoded privilege policy information
  ID                               id-at-xmlPrivPolicy }

```

```

noAssertion EXTENSION ::= {
  WITH SYNTAX                      NULL
  ID                               { id-ce-noAssertion }}

```

--Attribute certificate extensions and matching rules --

```

attributeCertificateExactMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX                          AttributeCertificateExactAssertion
  ID                               id-mr-attributeCertificateExactMatch }

```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

```
AttributeCertificateExactAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
    serialNumber      CertificateSerialNumber,
    issuer            AttCertIssuer
}
```

```
attributeCertificateMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
    SYNTAX            AttributeCertificateAssertion
    ID                id-mr-attributeCertificateMatch }
```

```
AttributeCertificateAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
    holder            [0] CHOICE {
        baseCertificateID [0] IssuerSerial,
        holderName        [1] GeneralNames} OPTIONAL,
    issuer            [1] GeneralNames OPTIONAL,
    attCertValidity  [2] GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
    attType          [3] SET OF AttributeType OPTIONAL}
```

-- At least one component of the sequence shall be present

```
holderIssuerMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
    SYNTAX            HolderIssuerAssertion
    ID                id-mr-holderIssuerMatch }
```

```
HolderIssuerAssertion ::= SEQUENCE {
    holder            [0] Holder OPTIONAL,
    issuer            [1] AttCertIssuer OPTIONAL
}
```

```
delegationPathMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
    SYNTAX            DelMatchSyntax
    ID                id-mr-delegationPathMatch }
```

```
DelMatchSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
    firstIssuer      AttCertIssuer,
    lastHolder       Holder }
```

```
sOAIentifier EXTENSION ::= {
    SYNTAX            NULL
    IDENTIFIED BY    id-ce-sOAIentifier }
```

```
authorityAttributIdentifier EXTENSION ::=
{
    SYNTAX            AuthorityAttributIdentifierSyntax
    IDENTIFIED BY    { id-ce-authorityAttributIdentifier } }
```

```
AuthorityAttributIdentifierSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF AuthAttId
```

```
AuthAttId ::= IssuerSerial
```

```
authAttIdMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
    SYNTAX            AuthorityAttributIdentifierSyntax
    ID                id-mr-authAttIdMatch }
```

roleSpecCertIdentifier EXTENSION ::=

```
{
  SYNTAX          RoleSpecCertIdentifierSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   { id-ce-roleSpecCertIdentifier } }
```

RoleSpecCertIdentifierSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF RoleSpecCertIdentifier

RoleSpecCertIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {

```
  roleName                [0]          GeneralName,
  roleCertIssuer           [1]          GeneralName,
  roleCertSerialNumber    [2]          CertificateSerialNumber
  OPTIONAL,
  roleCertLocator         [3]          GeneralNames
  OPTIONAL }
```

roleSpecCertIdMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          RoleSpecCertIdentifierSyntax
  ID              id-mr-roleSpecCertIdMatch }
```

basicAttConstraints EXTENSION ::=

```
{
  SYNTAX          BasicAttConstraintsSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   { id-ce-basicAttConstraints }
}
```

BasicAttConstraintsSyntax ::= SEQUENCE

```
{
  authority                BOOLEAN DEFAULT FALSE,
  pathLenConstraint       INTEGER (0..MAX) OPTIONAL
}
```

basicAttConstraintsMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          BasicAttConstraintsSyntax
  ID              id-mr-basicAttConstraintsMatch }
```

delegatedNameConstraints EXTENSION ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          NameConstraintsSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-delegatedNameConstraints }
```

delegatedNameConstraintsMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          NameConstraintsSyntax
  ID              id-mr-delegatedNameConstraintsMatch }
```

timeSpecification EXTENSION ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          TimeSpecification
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-timeSpecification }
```

timeSpecificationMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {

```
  SYNTAX          TimeSpecification
  ID              id-mr-timeSpecMatch }
```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

acceptableCertPolicies EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX **AcceptableCertPoliciesSyntax**
 IDENTIFIED BY **id-ce-acceptableCertPolicies }**
AcceptableCertPoliciesSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertPolicyId
CertPolicyId ::= OBJECT IDENTIFIER

acceptableCertPoliciesMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
 SYNTAX **AcceptableCertPoliciesSyntax**
 ID **id-mr-acceptableCertPoliciesMatch }**

attributeDescriptor EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX **AttributeDescriptorSyntax**
 IDENTIFIED BY **{id-ce-attributeDescriptor }**

AttributeDescriptorSyntax ::= SEQUENCE {
 identifier **AttributIdentifier,**
 attributeSyntax **OCTET STRING (SIZE(1..MAX)),**
 name **[0] AttributeName OPTIONAL,**
 description **[1] AttributeDescription OPTIONAL,**
 dominationRule **PrivilegePolicyIdentifier}**

AttributIdentifier ::= ATTRIBUTE.&id({AttributeIDs})

AttributeIDs ATTRIBUTE ::= {...}

AttributeName ::= UTF8String(SIZE(1..MAX))

AttributeDescription ::= UTF8String(SIZE(1..MAX))

PrivilegePolicyIdentifier ::= SEQUENCE {
 privilegePolicy **PrivilegePolicy,**
 privPolSyntax **InfoSyntax }**

attDescriptor MATCHING-RULE ::= {
 SYNTAX **AttributeDescriptorSyntax**
 ID **id-mr-attDescriptorMatch }**

userNotice EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX **SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF UserNotice**
 IDENTIFIED BY **id-ce-userNotice }**

targetingInformation EXTENSION ::= {
 SYNTAX **SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF Targets**
 IDENTIFIED BY **id-ce-targetInformation }**

Targets ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF Target

Target ::= CHOICE {
 targetName **[0] GeneralName,**
 targetGroup **[1] GeneralName,**
 targetCert **[2] TargetCert }**

FPDAM on Enhancements to Public-key and Attribute Certificates

```
TargetCert ::= SEQUENCE {
  targetCertificate IssuerSerial,
  targetName       GeneralName OPTIONAL,
  certDigestInfo   ObjectDigestInfo OPTIONAL }

noRevAvail EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          NULL
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-noRevAvail }

acceptablePrivilegePolicies EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          AcceptablePrivilegePoliciesSyntax
  IDENTIFIED BY   id-ce-acceptablePrivilegePolicies }

AcceptablePrivilegePoliciesSyntax ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PrivilegePolicy

-- Indirect issuer extension & matching rule added 9/14/2003

indirectIssuer EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          BOOLEAN
  ID              id-ce-indirectIssuer }

indirectIssuerMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX          BOOLEAN
  ID              id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch }

issuedOnBehalfOf EXTENSION ::= {
  SYNTAX          GeneralName
  ID              id-ce-issuedOnBehalfOf }

-- SOA identifier matching rule added 9/14/2003

sOIdentifierMatch MATCHING-RULE ::= {
  SYNTAX          NULL
  ID              id-mr-sOIdentifierMatch }

-- object identifier assignments --

-- object classes --

id-oc-pmiUser      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 24}
id-oc-pmiAA        OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 25}
id-oc-pmiSOA       OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= {id-oc 26}
```

FPDAM Enhancements to Public-Key and Attribute Certificates

| | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------|
| id-oc-attCertCRLDistributionPts | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-oc 27} |
| id-oc-privilegePolicy | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-oc 32} |
| id-oc-pmiDelegationPath | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-oc 33} |
| id-oc-protectedPrivilegePolicy | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-oc 34} |

-- directory attributes --

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| id-at-attributeDescriptorCertificate | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 62} |
| id-at-privPolicy | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 71} |
| id-at-role | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 72} |
| id-at-delegationPath | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 73} |
| id-at-xMLProtPrivPolicy | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 74} |
| id-at-xMLPrivilegeInfo | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-at 75} |

--attribute certificate extensions --

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| id-ce-authorityAttributeIdentifier | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 38} |
| id-ce-roleSpecCertIdentifier | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 39} |
| id-ce-basicAttConstraints | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 41} |
| id-ce-delegatedNameConstraints | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 42} |
| id-ce-timeSpecification | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 43} |
| id-ce-attributeDescriptor | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 48} |
| id-ce-userNotice | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 49} |
| id-ce-sOAIentifier | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 50} |
| id-ce-acceptableCertPolicies | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 52} |
| id-ce-targetInformation | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 55} |
| id-ce-noRevAvail | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 56} |
| id-ce-acceptablePrivilegePolicies | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 57} |
| id-ce-indirectIssuer | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 61} |
| id-ce-noAssertion | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-ce 62} |

-- PMI matching rules --

| | | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------|
| id-mr-attributeCertificateMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 42} |
| id-mr-attributeCertificateExactMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 45} |
| id-mr-holderIssuerMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 46} |
| id-mr-authAttIdMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 53} |
| id-mr-roleSpecCertIdMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 54} |
| id-mr-basicAttConstraintsMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 55} |
| id-mr-delegatedNameConstraintsMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 56} |
| id-mr-timeSpecMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 57} |
| id-mr-attDescriptorMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 58} |
| id-mr-acceptableCertPoliciesMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 59} |
| id-mr-delegationPathMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 61} |
| id-mr-sOAIentifierMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 66} |
| id-mr-indirectIssuerMatch | OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= | {id-mr 67} |

END

